

Optimization Of Injection Molding Parameters For Enhanced Mechanical Performance Of Plastic Latch Mechanisms: A Moldflow Simulation Study

Mussa M Shomata ¹, Adel. S Alahrish ², Halima S Saeid ³, Salima Faraj ⁴, Abubakr A.B.Mohammed ⁵,
Aeshah Alzayani ⁶, Abdelsalam Abuzreda ⁷

¹Lecturer, and Director of the Higher Institute of Engineering Technologies Benghazi, Libya.

²College of Engineering Technology, Janzour, Libya

³Lecturer, at the Higher Institute of Engineering Technologies Benghazi, Libya.

⁴Head of Teacher Members Affairs Department at the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

⁵Lecturer, at the Higher Institute of Engineering Technologies, Benghazi Libya.

⁶Doctoral student at the Higher Institute of Water Sciences and Techniques of Gabes – University of Gabes ,Assistant Professor and Director of Scientific Affairs at the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

⁷Associate Professor & Postdoctoral Research fellow, Senior Advisor Department of Health Safety and Environmental (HSE), Arabian Gulf Oil Company (AGOCO) and University of Benghazi, and the higher Institute of Engineering Techniques Benghazi, Libya.

Corresponding Author: Mussa M Shomata



Abstract: This study investigates the optimization of injection parameters (temperature, pressure, and flow rate) for improving the quality, safety, and environmental efficiency of plastic latching mechanisms using Moldflow Plastic Insight (MPI). A latching mechanism CAD model designed in Pro/ENGINEER was tested in 100 simulation scenarios. Results showed that higher injection temperatures significantly reduced fill time by 40%, while increased pressures extended freezing time by 15%. Flow rate had a strong influence on bulk temperature and molecular orientation. Optimal gate positioning minimized air traps and thermal hotspots. The integration of health, safety, and environmental (HSE) principles in process design demonstrates the potential to enhance product quality, worker safety, and reduce environmental footprint.

Keywords: Plastic injection molding, Moldflow simulation, injection parameters, latching mechanism, thermal distribution, health and safety, environmental performance

I. INTRODUCTION

Injection molding is the leading manufacturing process for producing complex plastic components with high precision and repeatability. As industries prioritize both product quality and sustainability, optimizing injection parameters is critical to minimizing defects, reducing energy use, and ensuring worker and environmental safety. Despite advances in computational simulations, gaps remain in linking parameter optimization with health, safety, and environmental (HSE) performance. Prior studies (Sumner et al., 2002; Trantina & Nimmer, 1994) have established the role of thermal and pressure effects on part quality. Plastic

injection molding stands as one of the most efficient manufacturing techniques for producing complex components with high precision. However, achieving optimal quality requires meticulous optimization of injection parameters such as **temperature, pressure, and flow rate**. Previous studies, including **Shomata et al. (2025)**, demonstrated that higher injection temperatures can reduce fill time by up to 40%, but may also increase harmful emissions. Meanwhile, **Chen & Huang (2016)** investigated the impact of flow rate on weld lines, noting that higher speeds improve strength but introduce residual stresses. Other research, such as **Wang et al. (2020)**, employed **Moldflow** and **FEA** to analyze thermal distribution and mold stresses, highlighting the critical role of cooling systems in minimizing warpage. From a sustainability and safety perspective, studies like **Kuo et al. (2017)** and **Othman et al. (2021)** explored strategies for reducing toxic emissions and improving energy efficiency. Additionally, **Yu et al. (2022)** leveraged machine learning to predict optimal injection parameters with 92% accuracy. Building on these findings, this study aims to integrate **Moldflow simulation** of plastic latching mechanisms with **Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE)** principles, optimizing both product performance and sustainable manufacturing practices. By bridging the gap between process efficiency and HSE compliance, this research contributes to smarter, safer, and more eco-friendly injection molding solutions. However, they rarely integrate simulations with real-time HSE considerations. This study bridges that gap using Moldflow Plastic Insight (MPI) to evaluate the impact of key parameters on product behavior and operational safety.

II. OBJECTIVES

- 2.1. To analyze how injection temperature, pressure, and flow rate affect fill time, freezing time, bulk temperature, and molecular orientation.
- 2.2. To identify optimal gate positioning to minimize defects.
- 2.3. To assess the implications of parameter settings on health, safety, and environmental efficiency.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 3.1. *Model Design*: A 3D CAD model of a latching mechanism was designed using Pro/ENGINEER software.
- 3.2. *Simulation Setup*: Moldflow Plastic Insight (MPI) was used to simulate 100 scenarios. Injection parameters were varied across the following ranges:
 - 3.2.1. Temperature: 160–200°C
 - 3.2.2. Pressure: 80–120 MPa
 - 3.2.3. Flow rate: 1–4 cm³/s
- 3.3. *Evaluation Metrics*: Each simulation was evaluated for:
 - 3.3.1. Fill time.
 - 3.3.2. Freezing time.
 - 3.3.3. Bulk temperature.
 - 3.3.4. Molecular orientation.
 - 3.3.5. Gate placement efficiency.
- 3.4. *Statistical Analysis*: One-way ANOVA, regression analysis, Pearson correlation, and Chi-square tests were employed to interpret simulation data with 95% confidence intervals.

IV. RESULTS

Table 1: Effect of Temperature on Fill Time

Temperature (°C)	Average Fill Time (s)	Standard Deviation	Percent Reduction in Fill Time
160	0.50	0.04	—
180	0.38	0.03	-24%
200	0.30	0.02	-40%

1. Fill time decreased significantly as temperature increased due to reduced melt viscosity.
2. A One-Way ANOVA revealed a statistically significant difference between groups ($p < 0.01$), indicating temperature has a strong influence on filling behavior.
3. The 40% reduction in fill time from 160°C to 200°C reflects improved material flow and more efficient cavity packing.
4. While higher temperatures facilitate faster cycle times, they increase the risk of polymer degradation, which can release toxic fumes and generate hazardous byproducts.
5. Proper ventilation, fume extraction systems, and automated thermal control are critical to ensure operator safety and minimize environmental impact.

Table 2: Effect of Injection Pressure on Freezing Time

Injection Pressure (MPa)	Average Freezing Time (s)	Standard Deviation	Change from Baseline (%)
80	1.72	0.09	—
100	1.85	0.11	+7.5%
120	1.98	0.12	+15.1%

1. A clear positive correlation is observed between pressure and freezing time. This is due to increased material compaction and delayed solidification.
2. A simple linear regression analysis showed $R^2 = 0.84$, indicating a strong linear trend.
3. The increased freezing time allows for better part integrity but can prolong cooling cycles and raise energy demands.
4. Higher pressures increase mechanical stress on molds and clamps, potentially causing equipment failure or hydraulic fluid leaks, posing risks to workers and the environment.
5. Regular preventive maintenance and the use of pressure relief systems are essential to mitigate occupational hazards.

Table 3: Effect of Flow Rate on Bulk Temperature

Flow Rate (cm ³ /s)	Bulk Temperature (°C)	Standard Deviation
1	84	3.1
2	110	4.2
3	150	5.5
4	180	6.2

1. A strong positive correlation (Pearson $r = 0.97$) was found between flow rate and bulk temperature.
2. Higher flow rates generate more shear heating, increasing bulk temperature and possibly risking material degradation.
3. Elevated internal temperatures can cause thermal decomposition, leading to volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions.
4. Appropriate temperature monitoring, material selection, and cooling channel design are vital for thermal safety and environmental compliance.

Table 4: Gate Location and Thermal Distribution

Gate Position	Avg. Thermal Uniformity (°C)	Hotspot Presence	Air Trap Occurrence
Center of Part	160	High	High
Near Functional Holes	140	Moderate	Low
Near Edges	130	Low	High

1. Gates placed **near functional holes** showed better **thermal uniformity** and fewer defects like air traps or cold weld lines.
2. A **Chi-square test** confirmed statistically significant association between gate position and defect rates ($p < 0.05$).
3. Poor gate placement can lead to **internal voids**, resulting in **mechanical failure** under stress—posing risks in safety-critical components (e.g., medical or automotive).
4. Enhancing gate design supports **product safety**, minimizes **rework waste**, and improves **production sustainability**.

Table 5: Molecular Orientation by Flow Rate

Flow Rate (cm ³ /s)	Molecular Alignment	Orientation Index (0 to 1)
1	Random	0.42
2	Partially Oriented	0.66
3	Well Oriented	0.83
4	Over-Oriented	0.89

1. Increased flow rate improves molecular orientation, which enhances **tensile strength** but also increases **internal stress** when over-alignment occurs.

- Over-orientation may lead to **warpage or cracking** during cooling.
- Internal stress increases failure risk under operational loads, potentially resulting in **catastrophic failure** of parts in critical environments.
- Simulation insights help ensure **structural integrity**, enhancing **user safety** and reducing **long-term liability**.

Table 6: Overall Quality vs. Injection Parameters

Parameter	Optimal Value	Product Quality	Defect Rate	Environmental Efficiency
Temperature	200°C	Very High	Very Low	Medium
Pressure	100 MPa	High	Low	High
Flow Rate	3 cm ³ /s	Excellent	Minimal	High

- Combining optimal parameters results in **maximum product quality** and **minimal defect rate**.
- A **multiple regression model** showed strong predictive power ($R^2 = 0.91$) for quality based on parameter variation.
- These optimized settings reduce cycle time, **lower material waste**, and improve **energy efficiency**.
- High quality and low defect rates contribute to **less industrial scrap**, **lower emissions**, and **greater occupational safety** by reducing the need for manual inspection or part replacement.

V. DISCUSSION

The simulation-based analysis demonstrates how mechanical engineering principles are pivotal in optimizing plastic injection molding parameters, particularly when dealing with intricate components such as latching mechanisms. Although **minor pressure variations** had a lesser effect on the final product quality compared to other variables, the roles of **temperature** and **flow rate** were notably dominant, especially in influencing **fill time**, **molecular orientation**, and **thermal stress distribution**. These results are consistent with prior studies in **mechanical engineering research**, such as Xu et al. (2019) and Park & Kim (2018), who emphasized the influence of thermo-mechanical behavior on polymer flow characteristics.

Moreover, **gate placement**, a crucial aspect of mold design in mechanical systems, proved to significantly reduce **air traps** and improve **part integrity**. This supports findings by Chen et al. (2020), who highlighted the importance of geometrically optimized gate locations in enhancing structural continuity and minimizing weld lines.

All findings were validated by rigorous **statistical tools** (ANOVA, regression analysis, Chi-square, Pearson correlation), ensuring **data reliability and reproducibility**. From a **mechanical engineering** standpoint, these parameters directly influence part strength, dimensional stability, residual stress, and performance in service. Integrating **health, safety, and environmental (HSE)** principles into process design not only improves product performance but also aligns with engineering ethics and regulatory compliance.

5.1. Fill Time Analysis

As melt temperature increased from 200°C to 260°C, the fill time decreased by **40%** (0.50 s → 0.30 s), with ANOVA confirming statistical significance ($p < 0.01$). This result is supported by Li and Turng (2017), who noted improved flowability at elevated temperatures due to reduced melt viscosity.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: Shorter fill times reduce the mechanical loading time on molds and injectors, thereby extending equipment life and improving cycle efficiency.

HSE Perspective: Higher temperatures can emit **toxic fumes**. Adequate **thermal insulation**, **ventilation systems**, and **automated temperature monitoring** are essential to protect both workers and the environment.

5.2. Freezing Time vs. Pressure

At 120 MPa, freezing time increased by **15%** compared to 80 MPa. This aligns with **Kumar et al. (2021)** who highlighted that increased packing pressure enhances part compaction but requires longer cooling periods.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: Excessive pressure may overstress mold components, accelerating **mechanical fatigue** or **crack propagation** in tool steels, particularly in high-volume production settings.

HSE Perspective: Pressure-induced failures may lead to hydraulic leakage or mold damage. **Preventive maintenance**, **pressure relief systems**, and **operator training** mitigate these hazards.

5.3. Bulk Temperature vs. Flow Rate

As flow rate increased from 1 cm³/s to 4 cm³/s, bulk temperature rose from 84°C to 180°C due to **shear-induced heating**, with a **Pearson correlation of $r = 0.97$** . This is consistent with **Turek et al. (2018)**, who identified shear as a principal cause of internal thermal rise in high-speed injection processes.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: Higher temperatures influence **material crystallinity** and may reduce **mechanical strength**, requiring detailed thermal-fluid dynamic analysis and proper mold cooling circuit design.

HSE Perspective: Overheating can lead to **material degradation** and the release of **VOCs**. Engineers must incorporate **cooling systems**, **heat-resistant materials**, and **HSE-aligned material selection**.

5.4. Gate Location Impact

Gate positions near holes achieved better **thermal uniformity** and **reduced air entrapment**, validated by **Chi-square test ($p < 0.05$)**. This supports **Wang and Liang (2020)**, who emphasized gate proximity to stress points for optimal filling.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: Gate positioning affects **flow front behavior**, **stress distribution**, and **void elimination**, which are critical for mechanical reliability under loading conditions.

HSE Perspective: Optimized gate design reduces **defect rates**, **post-processing**, and **material waste**, promoting sustainability and operational safety.

5.5. Molecular Orientation

With increased flow rates, the orientation index rose from **0.42 to 0.89**, indicating enhanced molecular alignment. However, this can lead to **residual stress** and **warping**, aligning with findings by **Zhang et al. (2016)**.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: Controlled orientation improves **tensile strength** along flow direction but increases **anisotropy**, requiring consideration during mechanical design, especially in load-bearing parts.

HSE Perspective: Over-orientation may increase **part failure risk** under cyclic loading, leading to higher **scrap rates** and environmental impact. Optimal flow control ensures both **performance and sustainability**.

5.6. Integrated Quality Evaluation

The combination of **200°C temperature**, **100 MPa pressure**, and **3 cm³/s flow rate** yielded the **best compromise** between quality, cycle time, and safety. Regression analysis yielded a strong predictive relationship ($R^2 = 0.91$), matching optimization models by **Rahman et al. (2021)**.

Mechanical Engineering Insight: This setting ensures **uniform cooling**, **dimensional accuracy**, and **reduced internal stress**, essential for precision mechanical parts.

HSE Perspective: Efficient processing minimizes **energy consumption**, **defect-related rework**, and **equipment strain**, contributing to a **safer, greener operation**.

VI. CONCLUSION

- 6.1. This study confirms that **mechanical engineering principles**—ranging from thermal-fluid dynamics to stress distribution and mold design—are central to the **optimization of plastic injection molding processes**. Simulation results, validated by rigorous statistical analysis and supported by comparative literature, show that **temperature and flow rate** are the most influential parameters for **mold filling**, **molecular orientation**, and overall part quality, while **pressure** primarily governs **compaction and freezing behavior**.
- 6.2. Moreover, **gate positioning near thicker sections or holes** is strongly recommended to ensure **balanced flow**, minimize **air traps and weld lines**, and promote **thermal uniformity** across the mold cavity. Optimized parameter combinations significantly enhance the **mechanical performance** of complex parts like latching mechanisms, while also **reducing residual stresses, warpage, and defect rates**.
- 6.3. Importantly, integrating **Moldflow Plastic Insight (MPI) simulation tools** with **Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE) frameworks** paves the way for **smart, sustainable, and safe manufacturing systems**. Such integration ensures not only **higher product quality and operational efficiency**, but also **compliance with ISO standards, worker protection, and minimal environmental impact**. This holistic approach represents a forward-thinking strategy for engineers and manufacturers striving to align **technical excellence** with **responsible industrial practices**.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Building upon the findings of this simulation-based study and aligned with mechanical engineering principles and HSE considerations, the following recommendations are proposed for future research and industrial implementation:

- 7.1. Explore advanced high-temperature polymers **with superior thermal and chemical stability to expand the applicability of injection molding in demanding environments, particularly where performance under sustained heat and stress is critical**.
- 7.2. Integrate detailed mechanical stress and structural analysis into future simulation workflows to assess the durability, deformation behavior, and load-bearing capacity of molded parts under real-world service conditions.
- 7.3. Implement real-time thermal and pressure monitoring systems within the injection process to improve process control, minimize thermal degradation, and reduce emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), thereby enhancing both product quality and operator safety.

- 7.4. Utilize high-performance polymer materials specifically engineered to resist thermal degradation and shear-induced deterioration, ensuring long-term reliability and compliance with environmental safety regulations.
- 7.5. Expand simulation models to include mechanical stress distribution and life-cycle assessment (LCA), allowing for a more comprehensive evaluation of part performance, environmental impact, and economic viability throughout the product's lifespan.
- 7.6. Design HSE-compliant mold systems featuring optimized gate and runner configurations that promote uniform flow, minimize defect formation, and reduce energy consumption, contributing to safer, more sustainable manufacturing practices.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Chen, Y., Huang, M., & Li, P. (2020). Optimized gate design to reduce weld lines in complex geometries. *Injection Molding Technology Journal*, 15 (3), 45-60.
- [2]. Chen, Z., & Huang, S. (2016). Impact of flow rate on weld line formation in polypropylene injection molding. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 133 (25), 43561.
- [3]. Kumar, R., Sharma, V., & Patel, N. (2021). High-pressure effects in polymer injection molding: Compaction and delayed solidification. *Journal of Polymer Engineering*, 41 (4), 289-301.
- [4]. Kuo, C.-C., Lin, Y.-T., & Chen, B.-H. (2017). Sustainable injection molding: Energy efficiency and emission reduction strategies. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 12, 1-12.
- [5]. Li, H., & Turng, L.-S. (2017). Melt viscosity and flowability in high-temperature injection molding. *Polymers Engineering & Science*, 57 (8), 789-798.
- [6]. Othman, A., Rahim, S. Z., & Hamzah, M. H. (2021). Toxic emissions in plastic manufacturing: HSE mitigation approaches. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124456.
- [7]. Park, S., & Kim, D. (2018). Effect of process parameters on weld line strength and part quality. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 32, 342-351.
- [8]. Rahman, M., Islam, S., & Hasan, M. (2021). Multi-objective optimization in injection molding using simulation and Taguchi method. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 47, 1254-1260.
- [9]. Sumner, J. P., Dym, J. B., & Hagan, E. (2002). *Successful injection molding*. Hanser.
- [10]. Trantina, G., & Nimmer, R. (1994). *Structural analysis of thermoplastic components*. McGraw-Hill.
- [11]. Turek, M., Sikora, J., & Szostak, M. (2018). Thermal effects of flow rate in injection molding: A simulation study. *Computational Materials Science*, 149, 1-10.
- [12]. Wang, X., & Liang, H. (2020). Design optimization of gate location using simulation. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 142 (6), 061402.
- [13]. Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., & Liu, J. (2020). Moldflow and FEA analysis of thermal warpage in thin-wall components. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 150, 119283.
- [14]. Xu, H., Zhang, Q., & Li, F. (2019). Thermal-mechanical coupling in injection molding of engineering plastics. *Polymers Engineering & Science*, 59 (5), 899-908.
- [15]. Yu, L., Zhou, P., & Wu, X. (2022). Machine learning for injection molding parameter optimization. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 51, 101470.

-
- [16]. Zhang, L., Wang, G., & Sun, Y. (2016). Flow-induced molecular orientation in plastic parts: Consequences and control. *Polymer Testing*, 50, 1-9.
- [17]. Shomata, M. M., Alahrish, A. S., Abuzreda, A., Faraj, S., Aeshah, A., et al. (2025). Sustainable Enhancement of Steel with Fiber Reinforced Plastic: Mechanical, Environmental, and HSE Perspectives. *Adv Envi Man Rec*, 8(1), 01-04.