



Vol. 34 No. 2 September 2022, pp. 285-294

# Meta-analysis The Effect of the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Model Through Online Learning on Biology Learning Outcomes, Learning Effectiveness, and 21<sup>st</sup> Century Competencies of Post-Covid-19 Students and Teachers

Zulyusri<sup>1</sup>, Desy<sup>2</sup>, Tomi Apra Santosa<sup>3</sup>, Sisi Yulianti<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Padang State University, Indonesia Email:zulyusri0808@gmail.com
<sup>2</sup>Mitra Bunda Health Institute, Indonesia Email:dhephoy02@gmail.com
<sup>3</sup>Padang State University, Indonesia Email:santosatomiapra@gmail.com
4Andalas University, Indonesia

Email:sui@gmail.com

(cc) BY

*Abstract*—This electronic do This study aims to analyze the effect of the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK) learning model through online learning on the learning outcomes, effectiveness and competencies of 21st century students and teachers after Covid-19. This research is a type of meta-analysis research. This research sample comes from national and international journals that have been published from 2017-2022. Sample search through journal databases accessed through Google Scholar, Eric journal, Emerald, Elsevier, Sage Journal, Taylor and Francis and IEEE. The data selection technique in this study was through purposive sampling technique. The data that was sampled was in accordance with the criteria or related to TPCK in science learning on the variables of effectiveness and student learning outcomes. The data analysis used is quantitative data analysis with the help of SPSS version 16 application by looking at the value of N-gain and Effect size (ES). The results of this study concluded that the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK) learning model through online learning had a significant effect on student learning outcomes with an average value of 70.5, student learning effectiveness with an average of 73 with an N-gain of 0.61, and 21st century competence of students and Teachers obtained an average of 77.33 with an effect size of 0.48 with moderate criteria.

Keywords— TPACK, Online Learning, Science Learning Outcomes, 21st Century Competence, Covid-19

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the era of the 21st century, the world of education has made very significant progress [1]; [2]). This can be seen from the development of the field of education that has been based on digital technology. Every student and teacher has used technology in carrying out learning. In addition, this digital era learning pays attention to teachers and students in achieving effective learning [3]. Effective learning based on digital technology will make it easier for students to respond to subject matter. Not only that, teachers must be able to facilitate students to master technology in learning.

The learning carried out by the teacher provides learning effectiveness for students. students in the era of industrial revolution 5.0 society are expected to master Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in access to learning. In addition, teachers are able to master various kinds of the latest learning models [4];[5]. The expected learning model is to be able to integrate

technology with learning content. The TPACK model or Pedagogical Content Knowledge Technology is one of the learning models that has an influence on student learning activities. [6].

The TPACK learning model is a technology-based learning model that is able to make it easier for students to understand the material or concept. If you look at it now, teachers are still not effective in applying the Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) technology model properly (Perdani & Andayani, 2021), teachers are generally less able to collaborate on learning that uses technology [7];[8];[9]. Therefore, with the TPACK learning model, it will be able to increase the success and quality of education.

TPACK is a complex learning concept consisting of TK (Technology knowledge), PK (Paedagogical Knowledge), and CK (Content Knowledge) [10];[11][12]. The TPACK learning concept has an influence on the level of student knowledge [13]. In addition, a teacher is able to apply TPACK in the current independent curriculum. Teacher professionalism when used in applying the TPACK model in the curriculum. Teachers who have high professionalism will more easily integrate TPACK in the current learning curriculum. The process of integrating TPACK into the curriculum concept must be supported by the competencies possessed by students [14];[15].

Competence is an ability that is needed by students to achieve learning objectives. In the TPACK learning model, there have not been many teachers who have calorated the TPACK concept in supporting 21st century competition to students [16]. So, the competencies needed today are critical thinking, creative, communication and collaboration or better known as 4C (Critical Thinking, Creative, Communication and Calaboration). Not only that, during the Covid-19 pandemic, the TPACK concept was generally carried out with teachers with online learning. Online learning is learning that is carried out with the help of the internet [17]. This online learning is very well implemented with a learning model based on TPACK [18], but the teacher is too heavy. Improving student competence through TPACK-based learning is very much needed during this Covid-19 pandemic [18];[19]. In addition, with teachers applying the TPACK concept in schools, students can easily improve their learning outcomes and competencies. Teachers and students at that time were indeed encouraged to have professionalism in improving their critical thinking, creative, communication and collaboration competencies. The spread of Covid-19 has adapted the learning process carried out by teachers and students [20].

TPACK is the biggest foundation for teachers in improving the quality of learning in Indonesia. With the Covid-19 disaster, teachers must be able to adjust the material presented to students. The learning process between teachers and students during the Covid-19 pandemic must be able to achieve the desired goals [21]. Therefore, with this TPACK model, teachers can easily and effectively transfer subject matter to students in this Covid-19 pandemic era.

Previous research on the TPACK concept affects the level of student proficiency in mastering learning technology [22]. According to [23], teachers who understand the TPACK concept well are able to apply learning models and strategies well, they will still be low in mastering technology. In addition, research by [24] teachers already have TPACK skills that positively affect the mastery of learning technology. In addition, research by [25] Online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic has made students accustomed to using learning technology. Research by [26] explained that TPCAK learning was able to encourage teacher performance in technology-based learning during this Covid-19 period. Research by [27] TPCK learning model has a significant influence on learning outcomes and student learning activities. Based on the background of the problem, this study aims to analyze the effect of the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK) learning model through online learning on the learning outcomes, effectiveness and competencies of 21st century students after Covid-19.

### **II. RESEARCH METHOD**

This research is a type of meta-analysis research. The sample in this study comes from the analysis of national journals indexed by SINTA or international journals indexed by Scopus, WOS and DOAJ which have been published in 2017-2022. Sampling was obtained through searching databases from Google scholar, Sciencedirect, Wiley, Taylor and Francis and Eric and IEEE. The sampling technique used purposive sampling technique. The data taken as a sample is related to the TPACK learning model in the learning process which has a relationship with learning outcomes, learning effectiveness and post-Covid-19 21st century competencies. Data analysis in this study is a quantitative analysis technique with amovi software and SPSS version 22. Data analysis in this study calculates N-Gain and Effect size (ES). The value of N-Gain and Effect Size (ES) can be seen in Table 2-3.



Pictures. 1 Journal Selection Process with Flowchart (Armero et al., 2022)

Nilai	Criteria
G > 0.7	Hight
$0.3 \le g \le 0.7$	Medium
G < 0.3	Low

Table.2 Effect Size (ES) Criteria			
Nilai	Criteria		
ES < 0.2	Rendah		
$0 \le \mathrm{ES} \le 0.8$	Sedang		
ES > 0.8	Tinggi		

### **III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### Result

From the results of the meta-analysis of national and international journals indexed by SINTA, Scopus, WOS and DOJA published in 2017-2022, 26 journals met the sample criteria, which can be seen in Table 3.

No	A	Author	Journal Type	Database	Terindex
1	[29]		Internationnal	Taylor and Francis	Scopus
2	[30]		International	Elsevier	Scopus
3	[15]		International	Elsevier	Scopus
4	[31]		International	Google Scholar	DOAJ
5	[32]		International	Google Scholar	DOAJ
6	[33]		International	MPDI	Scopus
7	[34]		International	MPDI	WOS
8	[31]		International	Eric Journal	DOAJ
9	[35]		International	Eric Journal	Scopus
10	[36]		International	Eric Journal	DOAJ
11	[7]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
12	[37]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
13	[38]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
14	[39]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
15	[40]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
16	[41]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
17	[42]		Internasional	Elsevier	Scopus
18	[2]		Internasional	Elsevier	Scopus
19	[43]		Internasional	Elsevier	Scopus
20	[44]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
21	[45]		International	Taylor and Francis	Scopus
22	[46]		International	Taylor and Francis	Scopus
23	[47]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
24	[48]		National	Google Scholar	SINTA
25	[49]		International	Elsevier	Scopus
26	[50]		International	Taylor and Francis	Scopus
		Natio	nal = 9	Taylor and Francis = 4	
		Intern	ational = 17	Google Scholar = 11	
				Elsevier $= 6$	
				MPDI = 2	
				Eric journal = 3	

Table.3 Meta-analysis by Journal Type & Journal Database

Based on Table 4 above, there are 9 types of national journals indexed by SINTA and 17 international journals indexed by Scopus, WOS, and DOAJ. In addition, the journal database search contained 4 journals from Taylor and Francis, 11 Google Scholars, 6 Elsevier, 2 MPDI and 3 Eric journals. Not only did a meta-analysis based on the type of journal and database, but a meta-analysis was also carried out on the type of research which can be seen in Table 4.

\_

No	Types of research	Amount	Persentace
1	Quantutative	17	65 %
2	R & D	6	23 %
3	Survey	3	12 %

Table. 4 Meta-Analysis Based on Research Type

Based on Table. 5 above explains the meta-analysis of the types of research obtained 65% quantitative research types, 23% R&D research and 12% survey research. Not only that, to see the influence of Technology Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) on learning outcomes, effectiveness and competence of the 21st century, the calculation of Effect Size (ES) can be seen from 5-7.

Table. 5 Effects of TPACK > Student Learning Outcomes after Covid-19

No	Class	Score	Means	ES	
1	Experiment	81	70.5	0.52	
2	Control	60	, 0.0	0.52	

No	Kelas	Score	Means	N-Gain
1	Experiment	83	72	0.61
2	Control	63	13	0.01

Table.7 Effect of TPACK > 21st Century Competence of Students & Teachers Post Covid-19

No	Competensy	Score	Means	Effect Size (ES)	Criteria	
1	Critical Thinking	78				
2	Kreative	82.15	77.33	0.48	Sedang	
3	Comunication	73.17				
4	Calaboration	76.02				

Based on Table. 5-7 above, it is known that the Technology Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) learning model has an effect on student learning outcomes after Covid-19 with an average of 70.5, student effectiveness of 0.61, and students' 21st century competency scores on average 77.33 with an Effect size of 0.48 with moderate criteria.

## Discussion

The application of the Technology Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) learning model has a significant influence on student learning outcomes, effectiveness and 21st century competencies of post-Covid-19 students. This can be seen from the results of a meta-analysis of 26 reputable national and international journals. From the meta-analysis, TPACK has an effect on student learning outcomes of 70.5, student effectiveness of 73 and 21st century competence for students and teachers of 77.33 with an effect size (ES) of 0.48. This is in line with research by [28] The application of TPACK can improve student learning

outcomes. In addition, according to [29];[30] The TPACK learning model encourages a teacher to integrate technology in education. In addition, TPACK learning is effective in increasing the effectiveness of students in learning. This is in line with [31];[32] explained that the TPACK concept is effective in encouraging the effectiveness of technology-based student learning. This means that teachers and students are able to improve 21st century competencies.

In the 21st century competence, students and teachers are required to be able to have 4 basic competencies, namely critical thinking, creative, communication and collaboration. From the results of the research above, TPACK has an influence on 21st century competence [33].. This is in line with research by [34];[35]In the application of TPACK, it is able to improve the quality of critical thinking and student learning outcomes after the Covid-19 pandemic. In the learning of the Covid-19 era, learning technology is very important in supporting the teaching and learning process [36];[37];[38] In the TPACK concept, teachers must be able to apply subject matter easily and effectively to students through technology. Menurut [39]) TPACK learning should be able to encourage the quality of a teacher in carrying out the learning process.

In the learning process during the Covid-19 period, everything is done online. Online learning requires the professionalism of teachers and students in mastering technology [40]). In the TPACK model the application of technology is also applied in the learning curriculum. Learning with the TPACK concept, the teacher applies the concept of learning by using technology, pedagogy, content and knowledge to students. Therefore, post-Covid-19 TPACK learning has an important effect on the quality level of student learning, although it does not directly have an effect on students. However, the TPACK model applied to students has been able to provide positive results for students and teachers [41];[42];[43];[44]. So, the application of Technology Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) is effectively used in various post-Covid-19 lessons [45];[46];[47].

In this post-Covid-19 learning era, students are able to understand subject matter through technology. Learning technology during the Covid-19 period is generally based on the use of technology [48];[49]. With its presence, the TPACK learning concept provides excellent student learning outcomes and 21st century competencies for students and teachers [50];[51];[52]. Furthermore, TPACK learning needs to be evaluated further so that this model is able to encourage the quality of teachers and students in the 4.0 revolution era. According to [53], TPACK learning encourages a teacher to improve the quality of learning. In addition, the TPACK model has been widely applied in various countries in Southeast Asia [54];[55]. The reason is that the TPACK model is able to develop the quality and potential of pedagogic technology and content for a student and teacher after Covid-19 [56];[57];[58];[59].

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

From the results of the research above, it can be concluded that the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK) learning model through online learning has a significant effect on student learning outcomes with an average value of 70.5, student learning effectiveness with an average of 73 with an N-gain of 0.61, and 21st century competence. students and teachers obtained an average of 77.33 with an Effect size (ES) of 0.48 moderate criteria. The application of the TPACK learning model is very well applied in post-Covid-19 learning.

### References

- [1] V. H. Shinas and S. Yilmaz-ozden, "Journal of Research on Technology in Education Examining Domains of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Using Factor Analysis," J. Res. Technol. Educ., pp. 37–41, 2013, doi: 10.1080/15391523.2013.10782609.
- [2]A. Lachner *et al.*, "Computers & Education Fostering pre-service teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge ( TPACK): A quasi-experimental field study," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 174, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104304.
- [3]J. M. Santos and R. D. R. Castro, "Social Sciences & Humanities Open Technological Pedagogical content knowledge ( TPACK) in action : Application of learning in the classroom by pre-service teachers (PST)," Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 100110, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100110.
- [4]M. Sholihah and L. Yuliati, "PERANAN TPACK TERHADAP KEMAMPUAN CALON GURU FISIKA DALAM PEMBELAJARAN POST-PACK," J. Pendidik. Teor. Penelitian, dan Pengemb., vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 144–153, 2016.

- [5]H. Ozgür, "Computers in Human Behavior Relationships between teachers' technostress, technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK), school support and demographic variables: A structural equation modeling," *Comput. Hum. Behav. J.*, vol. 112, pp. 1–9, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2020.106468.
- [6]J. Njiku, V. Mutarutinya, and J. F. Maniraho, "Developing technological pedagogical content knowledge survey items: A review of literature," *J. Digit. Learn. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–16, 2020, doi: 10.1080/21532974.2020.1724840.
- [7]S. K. Sari and E. Anggereini, "Pengaruh Penerapan LKPD Berdasarkan Kerangka Kerja TPACK Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa SMP Ditinjau Dari Pemecahan Masalah," J. Cendekia J. Pendidik. Mat., vol. 05, no. 02, pp. 923–934, 2021.
- [8]S. C. Bilici, S. S. Guzey, and H. Yamak, "Assessing pre-service science teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) through observations and lesson plans," *Res. Sci. Technol. Educ. ISSN*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 1–16, 2016, doi: 10.1080/02635143.2016.1144050.
- [9]& E. S. A. Berliana Ucha Maulid Perdani, "THE EFFECT OF TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL CONTENT KNOWLEDGE (TPACK) ON BECOME TEACHER READINESS Oleh:," J. Pendidik. Akunt. Indones., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 99–115, 2021.
- [10] C. Sing, J. Hwee, L. Koh, C. Tsai, L. Lee, and W. Tan, "Computers & Education Modeling primary school pre-service teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) for meaningful learning with information and communication technology (ICT)," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1184–1193, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2011.01.007.
- [11] F. TORUN, "The Effect of a Textbook Preparation Process Supported by Instructional Technology Tools on the TPACK Self-Confidence levels of Prospective Social Studies Teachers," *Rev. Int. Geogr. Educ.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 115–140, 2020.
- [12] J. Ekonomi and A. Astutik, "PERAN ADVERSITY QUOTIENT MEMODERASI PENGARUH SELF EFFICACY DAN LINGKUNGAN KERJA TERHADAP PENERAPAN TPACK PEMBELAJARAN AKUNTANSI," J. Ekon. Pendidik., vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 98–115, 2020.
- [13] C. Wang, "Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education Facilitating the emotional intelligence development of students: Use of technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)," J. Hosp. Leis. Sport Tour. Educ., vol. 25, p. 100198, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jhlste.2019.100198.
- [14] E. R. Urban, M. Navarro, and A. Borron, "TPACK to GPACK? The examination of the technological pedagogical content knowledge framework as a model for global integration into college of agriculture classrooms," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 73, pp. 81–89, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2018.03.013.
- [15] Y. Jin and D. Schmidt-crawford, "Preservice teacher cluster memberships in an edtech course : A study of their TPACK development," *Comput. Educ. Open*, vol. 3, p. 100089, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100089.
- [16] K. Tirri, S. Cho, D. Ahn, and J. R. Campbell, "Education for Creativity and Talent Development in the 21st Century," *Educ. Res. Int.*, vol. 2017, pp. 2–4, 2017.
- [17] K. Oktarina, T. A. Santosa, A. Razak, and Y. Ahda, "Meta-Analysis: The Effectiveness of Using Blended Learning on Multiple Intelligences and Student Character Education during the Covid-19 Period," *IJECA Int. J. Educ. Curric. Appl.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 184–192, 2021.
- [18] C. Wardoyo, Y. Dwi, B. Shandy, and A. Wibowo, "Heliyon Do technological knowledge and game-based learning promote students achievement: lesson from Indonesia," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. November, p. e08467, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08467.
- [19] H. Shafie, F. A. Majid, and I. S. Ismail, "Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) in Teaching 21 st Century Skills in the 21 st Century Classroom," Asian J. Univ. Educ., pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [20] Z. Abdul Razak 1, Tomi Apra Santosa2\*, Lufri 3, "Meta-Analysis: The Effect of HOTS (Higher Order Thinking Skill)

Questions on Students' Science Literacy Skills and Lesson Study on Ecology and Environmental Materials During the Covid-19 Pandemic Abdul," *Bioedusiana J. Pendidik. Biol.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 79–87, 2021.

- [21] Festiyed et al., "Networked-based Inquiry: An Effective Physics Learning in the New Normal COVID-19 Era in Indonesia," Int. J. Instr., vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 997–1016, 2022.
- [22] N. Baya and W. Daher, "The development of college instructors' technological pedagogical and content knowledge," *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 174, pp. 1166–1175, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.733.
- [23] K. Huang, Y. Chen, and S. Jang, "TPACK in Special Education Schools for SVI: A Comparative Study between Taiwanese and Chinese In-service Teachers TPACK in Special Education Schools for SVI: A Comparative Study between Taiwanese and Chinese In-service Teachers," *Int. J. Disabil. Dev. Educ.*, vol. 00, no. 00, pp. 1–16, 2020, doi: 10.1080/1034912X.2020.1717450.
- [24] B. Rienties *et al.*, "European Journal of Teacher Education Online training of TPACK skills of higher education scholars : a cross-institutional impact study," *Eur. J. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 37–41, 2013, doi: 10.1080/02619768.2013.801073.
- [25] A. AlAdwani and A. AlFadley, "Online Learning via Microsoft TEAMS During the Covid-19 Pandemic as Perceived by Kuwaiti EFL Learners," J. Educ. Learn., vol. 11, no. 1, p. 132, 2022, doi: 10.5539/jel.v11n1p132.
- [26] A. S. A. Al-Harthi, C. Campbell, and A. Karimi, "Teachers' Cloud-Based Learning Designs: The Development of a Guiding Rubric Using the TPACK Framework," *Comput. Sch.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 134–151, 2018, doi: 10.1080/07380569.2018.1463033.
- [27] J. M. Merino-Armero, J. A. González-Calero, and R. Cózar-Gutiérrez, "Computational thinking in K-12 education. An insight through meta-analysis," J. Res. Technol. Educ., vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 410–437, 2022, doi: 10.1080/15391523.2020.1870250.
- [28] T. A. Santosa, A. Razak, F. Arsih, and E. M. Sepriyani, "Meta-Analysis: Science Learning Based on Local Wisdom Against Preserving School Environments During the Covid-19 Pandemic," J. Biol. Educ., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 244–251, 2021.
- [29] C. S. Chai and J. H. L. Koh, "Changing teachers' TPACK and design beliefs through the Scaffolded TPACK Lesson Design Model (STLDM)," *Learn. Res. Pract.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 114–129, 2017, doi: 10.1080/23735082.2017.1360506.
- [30] I. Celik, "Towards Intelligent-TPACK: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education," *Comput. Human Behav.*, vol. 138, no. August 2022, p. 107468, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468.
- [31] H. Atun and E. Usta, "The effects of programming education planned with TPACK framework on learning outcomes," *Particip. Educ. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 26–36, 2019, doi: 10.17275/per.19.10.6.2.
- [32] Kamid, Rohati, D. A. Kurniawan, R. Perdana, D. Chen, and M. Wulandari, "Impact of the Integration of Ethnomathematics with TPACK framework as a problem-based learning (PBL) model," *Eurasian J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 2021, no. 96, pp. 217–239, 2021, doi: 10.14689/ejer.2021.96.14.
- [33] J. Álvarez-Otero and M. L. de Lázaro y Torres, "Education in sustainable development goals using the spatial data infrastructures and the TPACK model," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1–14, 2018, doi: 10.3390/educsci8040171.
- [34] P. H. Cheng, J. Molina, M. C. Lin, H. H. Liu, and C. Y. Chang, "A New TPACK Training Model for Tackling the Ongoing Challenges of COVID-19," *Appl. Syst. Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1–19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/asi5020032.
- [35] M. A. Thohir, L. Yuliati, E. Ahdhianto, E. Untari, and F. A. Yanti, "Exploring the relationship between personality traits and tpack-web of pre-service teacher," *Contemp. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 13, no. 4, 2021, doi: 10.30935/cedtech/11128.
- [36] Y. S. Kaleli, "The Effect of Individualized Online Instruction on TPACK Skills and Achievement in Piano Lessons," Int. J. Technol. Educ., pp. 399–412, 2021, doi: 10.46328/ijte.143.

- [37] S. N. Hayani and S. Sutama, "Pengembangan Perangkat dan Model Pembelajaran Berbasis TPACK Terhadap Kualitas Pembelajaran Daring," *J. Basicedu*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 2871–2882, 2022, doi: 10.31004/basicedu.v6i2.2512.
- [38] N. Maulida, S. Sa'adah, and U. Ukit, "Peningkatan Keterampilan Berkomunikasi Siswa Melalui Pembelajaran Berorientasi TPACK Dengan Blended Learning Pada Materi Sistem Gerak," J. BIOEDUIN J. Progr. Stud. Pendidik. Biol., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 79–87, 2021, [Online]. Available: http://journal.uinsgd.ac.id/index.php/bioeduin/article/view/14313
- [39] D. M. Aulia, Parno, and S. Kusairi, "Pengaruh E-module Berbasis TPACK-STEM terhadap Literasi Sains Alat Optik dengan Model PBL-STEM Disertai Asesmen Formatif," J. Ris. Pendidik. Fis., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 2021, [Online]. Available: http://journal2.um.ac.id/index.php/jrpf/
- [40] A. dan D. W. Rahayu, "Analisis Komponen TPACK Guru SD sebagai Kerangka Kompetensi Guru Profesional di Abad 21," *J. basicedu*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 524–532, 2019.
- [41] H. Herawati, "Kompetensi Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Guru Kimia," Skripsi. Univ. Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 291–298, 2021.
- [42] T. Meirovitz, S. Russak, and A. Zur, "English as a foreign language teachers' perceptions regarding their pedagogicaltechnological knowledge and its implementation in distance learning during COVID-19," *Heliyon*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. e09175, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09175.
- [43] T. H. Jen, Y. F. Yeh, Y. S. Hsu, H. K. Wu, and K. M. Chen, "Science teachers' TPACK-Practical: Standard-setting using an evidence-based approach," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 95, pp. 45–62, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2015.12.009.
- [44] T. Prasetyo, R. Yektyastuti, and Y. D. Maulidini, "Pengaruh Literasi Tik Terhadap Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Guru," J. Improv., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 13–20, 2019, [Online]. Available: http://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/improvement
- [45] T. Long, G. Zhao, X. Li, R. Zhao, K. Xie, and Y. Duan, "Exploring Chinese in-service primary teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) for the use of thinking tools," *Asia Pacific J. Educ.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 350– 370, 2022, doi: 10.1080/02188791.2020.1812514.
- [46] J. L. Sickel, "The Great Media Debate and TPACK: A Multidisciplinary Examination of the Role of Technology in Teaching and Learning," J. Res. Technol. Educ., vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 152–165, 2019, doi: 10.1080/15391523.2018.1564895.
- [47] B. U. M. Perdani and E. S. Andayani, "Pengaruh Kemampuan Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (Tpack) Terhadap Kesiapan Menjadi Guru," J. Pendidik. Akunt. Indones., vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 99–115, 2022, doi: 10.21831/jpai.v19i2.46021.
- [48] A. Z. Arif and A. Setiyowati, "ELSE (Elementary School Education Journal): Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Sekolah Dasar," vol. 6, pp. 398–418, 2022.
- [49] G. Model, "Digital pedagogy and cooperative learning: Effect on the technological pedagogical content knowledge and academic achievement of pre-service teachers," *Rev. Psicodidáctica (English ed.)*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.psicoe.2020.10.002.
- [50] A. Eichelberger and P. Leong, "Using TPACK as a framework to study the influence of college faculty's beliefs on online teaching," *EMI. Educ. Media Int.*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 116–133, 2019, doi: 10.1080/09523987.2019.1614246.
- [51] A. Oster-Levinz and A. Klieger, "Online tasks as a tool to promote teachers' expertise within the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 354–358, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.03.024.
- [52] L. Gustavo *et al.*, "TPACK for meaningful learning survey : " paths " for professional development of biology teachers in Brazil," vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 169–181, 2021.
- [53] I. S. Sari, S. R. Lestari, and M. S. Sari, "Preliminary study of guided inquiry-based e-module development based on research results to improve student's creative thinking skills and cognitive learning outcomes," *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol.

2330, 2021, doi: 10.1063/5.0043320.

- [54] D. Pendergast and D. Pendergast, "The Role of Home Economics Education in the 21 st Century : The Covid-19 Pandemic as a Disruptor, Accelerator, and Future Shaper Vloga gospodinjskega izobraževanja v 21. stoletju : pandemija covida-19 kot motnja, pospeševalka in oblikovalka prihodnost," vol. 11, pp. 13–32, 2021, doi: 10.26529/cepsj.1205.
- [55] L. Huang and S. P. Lajoie, "Computers & Education Process analysis of teachers' self-regulated learning patterns in technological pedagogical content knowledge development," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 166, no. February, p. 104169, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104169.
- [56] T. A. Santosa, A. Razak, A. Anhar, and R. Sumarmin, "The Effectiveness of the Blended Learning Model on Student Learning Outcomes in Zoology Subjects in the Covid-19 Era," *Pendidik. Biol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 77–83, 2021.
- [57] J. M. Clausen *et al.*, "TPACK Leadership Diagnostic Tool: Adoption and Implementation by Teacher Education Leaders," *J. Digit. Learn. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–18, 2019, doi: 10.1080/21532974.2018.1537818.
- [58] M. A. Ardiç, "EXAMINATION OF TURKISH MATHEMATICS TEACHERS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION LEVELS AND THEIR SELF- CONFIDENCE IN TPACK \*," *Malaysian Online J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 31– 49, 2021.
- [59] P. Nuangchalerm and A. Info, "TPACK in ASEAN perspectives : Case study on Thai pre-service teacher," vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 993–999, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v9i4.20700.