

Obstetric Anal Sphincter Injury: Risk Factors, Management, and Recommendations

Literature Review

Maged Naser¹, Mohamed M. Naser², Lamia H. Shehata³

¹ Mazahmiya Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Department of Obs/Gyn,

² King Fahd Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi, Department of Surgery, Consultant
Endoscopic Surgery,

³ Department of Radiology Care National Hospital, KSA



Abstract – This review presents the convenient insights on the diagnosis of obstetrical anal sphincter injury by utilizing postpartum ultrasound imaging. There is developing confirmation that anal sphincter tears are much of the time ignored after labour and, in any event, when diagnosed, regularly not well fixed, with an unnecessary rate of remaining imperfections after reconstruction. Indeed, even after postpartum diagnosis and primary repair, 25% to 50% of patients will have persistent anal incontinence. As clinical diagnosis may likewise fail in the detection and classification of obstetrical anal sphincter injury, the utilization of imaging has been proposed to work on the discovery and treatment of these lacerations. Prominently, 3D endoanal ultrasound is respected the best quality level in the identification of obstetrical anal sphincter injury, and as of late, 4D transperineal ultrasound, typically reachable in obstetrical and gynecologic settings, has laid out to be great too. Aversion of forceps delivery whenever the situation allows, execution of a rectal assessment after vaginal delivery and before repair of any severe perineal tear, and providing sonographic follow-up at 10 to 12 weeks after vaginal delivery in high-risk women (maternal age of ≥ 35 years, vaginal birth after caesarean delivery, forceps, delayed second phase of labour, obvious obstetrical anal sphincter injury, shoulder dystocia, and macrosomia) may moreover help reduce morbidity emerging from anal sphincter tears.

Keywords – Anal Sphincter Injury, Anal Incontinence, Obstetrical Complications, Sphincteroplasty, Perineal Tear, Overlap Repair, End To End Repair, Pregnancy.

I. INTRODUCTION

While maternal mortality associated with labour is presently intriguing in the developed world, there keeps on being sizable maternal morbidity including that related to the pelvic floor function. A gathering of women who are in risk of pelvic floor dysfunction following delivery include those in whom the anal sphincter is disturbed during labour.

II. DEFINITIONS

Perineal injury occurs either immediately with vaginal delivery or secondary as an expansion to an episiotomy. Serious perineal injury can involve injury to anal sphincters and anal mucosa. Obstetric anal sphincter injuries comprise of third and fourth degree perineal tears. Third degree tears contain a fractional or complete disruption of the anal sphincter complex which incorporates the external anal sphincter and the internal anal sphincter. Fourth degree tears include disturbance of the anal mucosa notwithstanding division of the anal sphincter complex.

i. Clinical elements

OASIS (Obstetric *anal* sphincter *injuries*) can widespread affect women through impeding their quality of life in each the short and extended term. One of the absolute most upsetting quick issues of perineal injury is perineal pain. Transient perineal pain is related with edema and swelling, which can be the consequence of tight stitches, disease, or wound breakdown. Perineal pain can prompt urinary retention and defecation problems in the on the spot postpartum period. In the long term, women with perineal pain might have dyspareunia and changed sexual function. Also, complications of severe perineal tears include abscess formation, wound breakdown, and rectovaginal fistulae.

Injury to the anal sphincter is perceived as the most common explanation of anal incontinence and anorectal symptoms in any otherwise healthy women. Obstetrical sphincter wounds have a scope of long term complications of which anal incontinence is the most ridiculously upsetting and impairing. Anal incontinence incorporates a differ of symptoms including: flatal incontinence, passive soiling, or incontinence of liquid and solid stool. [1].

Fecal urgency can likewise be an indication experienced by numerous women. Any of these symptoms might possibly be a hygienic, social, and psychological difficulty for women. Women are not continually impending with symptoms of anal incontinence both because of embarrassment or they sense that the side effects are a common outcome of vaginal delivery. The real prevalence of AI (anal incontinence) related with OASIS can likewise be undervalued. The detailed rates of AI following the primary repair of OASIS somewhere in the range of 15% and 61%, with a mean of 39%. [2].

This unreasonable event features the need to guarantee our surgical strategies and postoperative management are optimal. Supporting an OASIS can affect a woman's physical and emotional wellbeing. There are personal costs for the impacted individual with pad use and missed time from work, and charges to women and the medical services framework comprising of appointments and therapies. It could likewise furthermore make women unfortunate with regards to future labour and unfavourably affect the other conceptive lives. Missed tears or insufficient fix may moreover likewise introduce a potential source for litigation.

Obstetrical injury that can prompt AI incorporates primary harm to the anal sphincter complex, pudendal neuropathy (by direct pressure or extending), or both. Notwithstanding sphincter repairs, a few women can likewise have remaining imperfections and AI symptoms. The beginning of symptoms of AI could likewise appear immediately or many years after delivery; anal incontinence may exclusively show up in advanced age, while the aging process adds to the delivery insult.

ii. Diagnosis of OASIS

Cautious assessment of the perineum, for example, a rectal assessment for those with a tear that is more than superficial in depth, ought to be acted in all women preceding suturing. [3].

Formal training in the cognizance of OASIS improves the detection of such injuries, [4]. As episode ascends from 11% to 24.5% when the obstetrical consideration supplier's assessment used to be repeated through a trained fellow. [5].

The examination should be finished with adequate lighting and analgesia and include:

1. inspection of perineum with labial splitting,
2. inspection of the distal (caudal) back vagina.
3. inspection for a third degree tear behind an "intact perineum."
4. Palpation is best done with the inspector's dominant index embedded in the anus, and the ipsilateral thumb in the vagina. The two fingers then, at that point, touch with a "pill-rolling" development to look at thickness.

At the point when the external sphincter tears, the ends withdraw and the cavity is oftentimes touched along the course of the sphincter muscle. This may also be less clear with the presence of an epidural. Special consideration should then be given to the IAS (internal anal sphincter). The IAS is a continuation of the round smooth muscle of the rectum. This muscle appears to be pale (like crude white fish), is as of now not exceptionally thick, and can be found 6 to 8 mm above (cephalad to) the anal edge. Assessment of the IAS will likewise permit location of a button-opening injury [3].

iii. Evaluating of Severity

Generally, the severity of perineal tear was compelled to four grades: grade 1 (superficial vaginal as well as perineal skin), grade 2 (vaginal muscles), grade 3 (in or through external anal sphincter muscle), and grade 4 (external and internal anal sphincters and anorectal lumen). [6].

As there was an absence of consistency in the order of the classification of a partial anal sphincter, with up to 33% of consultant obstetricians characterizing a complete or partial tear of the EAS (external anal sphincter) as a second degree perineal tear, [7].

Sultan, formulated a more explicit classification [8], later embraced through the WHO

[9], and the International Consultation on Incontinence. [10]. In this characterization, grade 3 is also refined as including the anal sphincter complex and is partitioned into 3a, 3b, 3c (Table 1).

The sort of third degree tear appears to impact symptoms, with OASIS grade 3a and 3b having a preferable prognosis over 3c. Truth be told, those with a 3c OASIS had symptoms comparative in seriousness to these with a fourth degree laceration. [11].

A button-hole injury, where just the vaginal and rectal mucosa are involved, not be reported as a third or fourth degree tear whenever found in isolation. Documentation of the presence or absence of a tear, as proven on rectal assessment, should be uncovered to the patient and included into the delivery note, and repair should be done to avoid fistulisation.

Such a grading system considers the recognition of tearing experienced by utilizing the external sphincter each in turn from that of the internal sphincter. Such distinction is planned to further improve reporting, guide repair, and work with result research.

III. EPIDEMIOLOGY

The frequency of OASIS can likewise go as indicated by numerous factors which incorporate utilization of an episiotomy (lateral, mediolateral, or midline), type of delivery (spontaneous or assisted vaginal), and type of instrument utilized (vacuum or forceps); parity, type of obstetrical care supplier, and race. In general, studies on taking a gander at the incidence of OASIS fundamentally founded on the WHO's International Classification of Diseases [12]. Record a rate of 4% to 6.6% of all vaginal birth, [13-16] with more prominent rates in assisted deliveries (6%) than in SVD (5.7%). [17].

An OASIS is often misdiagnosed at the time of delivery by obstetrical care suppliers. One study reported that the widespread rate of missed OASIS went from 26% to 87%. [5].

In that learn about of primiparous women, all women have been inspected with the guide of a prepared individual after the assessment and reviewing of tear by the obstetrical care provider and showed through endoanal ultrasound before repair (considered the gold standard). When inspected methodically as depicted over, everything except 1.6% (3/182) of women have been proficiently perceived on examination; the other 3 had occult OASIS addressing the false negative rate of assessment, 2 of which just impacted the internal sphincter, and would have hence been undetectable on physical assessment.

At the point when the visualization of OASIS is obtained from endoanal ultrasound assessment within 2 months of delivery, the occurrence of any level of anal sphincter deformity in primiparous women is proposed to be as high as 27% to 35%, and somewhere in the range of 4% and 8.5% of multiparous women have another sphincter defect. [15,18].

IV. RISK FACTORS FOR OASIS

Risk factors commonly related with obstetric anal sphincter tears include maternal, delivery, and infant's attributes. Table 2 shows a summary of OR for in excess of various risks components from studies on detailing this information. (Table 2) [19-35]

i-Maternal Risk Factors

Maternal risk factors are presented in Table 2 Obesity is defensive, in a dose-response way (BMI 25 to30: 0.89 [95% CI 0.85 to 0.95]; 30 to;35: 0.84 [95% CI 0.76 to 0.92]; and BMI >35: 0.70 [95% CI 0.59 to 0.82]) [36].

ii-Delivery Risk Factors

Risk factors occurring at the time of delivery that may likewise be freely related with OASIS are safeguarded in the second piece of Table2, the effect of midline episiotomy and forceps, together or in isolation, are in Table 3 [37].

iii-Infant Risk Factors

Explicit infant attributes acting autonomously extend the peril of OASIS introduced in the (Table 3).

V. DIAGNOSIS

Labour is an extremely powerful moment in a woman's life, and it must be recognized as a pleasant encounter. Sadly, most recent information show that a substantial minority of female go through from anal incontinence (AI) after delivery,[38,39]in particular after forceps delivery,[38,40,41]what's more these symptoms may continue for many years.[42,43]This entanglement negatively impacts the quality of life of women from a social, sexual, and psychological point of view.[44,45]There is an error between the proposed frequency of postnatal perceived obstetrical anal sphincter injury (OASI) and the event of AI, as the rate of birth-related AI is something like 3 to 5 occasions higher.[46]

Consequently, previously, birth-related AI was accepted to be because of pudendal neuropathy. Until now, because of the reality of the utilization of contemporary imaging, we fathom that this inconsistency is extra liable to be because of detection artefact, or at least, a dismissed conclusion of OASI right now after childbirth. [47]

The rate of sonographic verification of OASI within 1 year after a first vaginal delivery fluctuates somewhere in the range of 12% and 35% (Table 1) in observational series. In a current meta-analysis of 16,000 women, AI was once seen in 14% of patients who had delivered vaginally without clinical doubt of OASI. [48]

Albeit the intrapartum diagnosis of OASI is perhaps to stay the area of clinical assessment (which, urgently, needs to incorporate a rectal assessment before repair), imaging can give valuable services in postpartum follow-up, permitting audit of diagnosis and repair, and early intercession for secondary prevention. Since these tears are likely to be underdiagnosed, sphincter imaging may moreover be useful in high-risk gatherings, for example, macrosomic births, forceps, shoulder dystocia, and vaginal birth after caesarean delivery (VBAC), and in women aged > 35 years. (Table 4)

Albeit magnetic resonance imaging has been proposed for the appraisal of the anal canal, this method is costly and doesn't seem, by all accounts, to be exceptionally encouraging a result of poor imaging quality. [49] 3D endoanal ultrasound (3D-EAUS) is considered the gold standard in the diagnosis of OASI. [50] In addition, 4D transperineal ultrasound (4D-TPUS) has grown significantly in the past 15 years in the assessment of pelvic floor issues, assisted through the presentation of tomographic processing of volume information. There is a fair-to-great connection between this method and endoanal imaging in the diagnosis of OASI. [51] ([Table 5](#))

What's more, 2D transperineal ultrasound (2D-TPUS) has been proposed in the appraisal of the anal sphincter more than 2 decades ago. [52]. Tragically, this method is by all accounts erroneous in the diagnosis of OASI and seems difficult to standardize. Dynamic 2D-TPUS has been right now proposed as an early evaluating apparatus for AI in the assessment of anal sphincter contraction in labour and delivery after vaginal delivery with good outcomes, however just in a small prospective series. [53]

i-Endoanal ultrasound in the determination of obstetrical anal sphincter injury

Strikingly, 3D-EAUS is respected the gold standard in the diagnosis of OASI. [54], Findings are related with AI [55], furthermore clinical grading of sphincter tears. [56,57]. Until this point in time, EAUS is currently not routinely utilized in postpartum care since it is intrusive and requires particular stuff barely ever close by in obstetrical units.

This procedure has been professed to be helpful in any event, when utilized soon after vaginal delivery. [47,58] There is proof from one randomized controlled preliminary including 752 primiparous women without a clinical diagnosis of OASI that ultrasound expands the accuracy of OASI identification. Women who acquired 3D-EAUS (and treatment whenever situated to have defects) have been considerably less conceivably to have severe AI 3 months (3.3% versus 8.7%) and a year (3.2% versus 6.7%) after delivery. [59,60]

As indicated by this single study, unsubstantiated review, 3D-EAUS must be acted in 29 postpartum women to prevent 1 instance of AI a year after delivery. Post-partum 3D-EAUS has shown OASI in up to 24.5% of women after their first vaginal delivery, much more prominent than these diagnosed by clinical assessment.

ii-Transperineal ultrasound in the diagnosis of OASI

The exoanal strategy by utilizing transperineal ultrasound has been as of late proposed and created as a substantially less invasive, considerably less costly, and more available reachable to inspect anal sphincter integrity. [43,51,61,62]

It has been called attention to that endoanal probes misshape the anal sphincter anatomy; consequently, exoanal imaging may furthermore allow a more right perspective on anatomic relationships.

The most widely recognized strategy incorporates the utilization of volumetric curvilinear transducers, which are universally utilized for antenatal imaging (transabdominal 4D ultrasound). [49]. The strategy offers for a more normalized assessment because of the reality of the utilization of tomographic procedures, with a midsagittal reference plane showing the location of a set of slices situated at an individualized set interval. This is feasible due to the identification of the fascial plane between the levator ani and external anal sphincter (EAS), a facility that is obviously absent in endoanal imaging. According to the authors, 4D-TPUS, performed eventually of most anal sphincter contraction 10 to 12 weeks after delivery, has the potential to change 3D-EAUS. Great understanding between these two strategies has been demonstrated, [63] with a κ value of 0.76 for the appraisal of 3D-EAUS and 3D-TPUS. [64]

Additionally, 4D imaging of the anal canal has end up being fully standardized since 2012. Normal values have been described. [65]. It is hugely repeatable and valid. [30,31]. Findings have been laid out to connect with clinical symptoms of obstetrical-related AI, [42], indeed, even years after vaginal delivery, [43], also after clinical diagnosis and repair of OASI [68]. The strategy has been standardized internationally [69]. Since 4D-TPUS with tomographic ultrasound imaging methodology requires aptitude, different procedures including 2D-TPUS have been proposed, for example, the "swipe modality" [70], also the "dynamic evaluation of the anal sphincter contraction." [53] Further research is needed before thought of these modalities as a screening instrument for OASI and AI.

VI. MEDIATIONS TO PREVENT OASIS

Risk factors for OASIS much of the time become unmistakable late in labour, and the recognition to which these components can likely be adjusted during work is yet not entirely set in stone. In any case, a few strategies for playing out the delivery could likewise show confirmation of protection. [74].

i-Head Control

Slowing down the delivery of the head and teaching women to not push at the delivery of the head, utilizing subsequently just the uterine expulsive efforts, diminishes the occurrence of OASIS by 50% to 70%, as demonstrated through multicentre research in Norway. [75,76]

ii-Perineal Support

The protecting role of perineal support (by which the delivery care provider holds the perineum with a sponge, applying average pressure) in isolation is indistinct. A Cochrane appraisal which remembers RCTs on the topic [77].

Neglected to show an advantage; notwithstanding, the outcomes were firmly impacted through a large RCT of hands-ready versus hands-on techniques, which covered both slowing the head and supporting the perineum. In the review, midwives relegated to ready hands had been additionally permitted to progressive the head (by utilizing pressure on the head to control its speed of expulsion) assuming turning in excessively quick, which solidly one-sided the outcomes.

A 2011 Cochrane survey showed that the utility of warm packs to the perineum (OR 0.5) as well as intra-partum perineal massage (OR 0.5) each limit the risk of OASIS. [77,78].

Perineal massage is done with lubricant, using a delicate, slow massage, with 2 fingers of the [obstetrical care provider's] gloved hand moving from side to side right inside the patient's vagina. Gentle, descending pressure (towards the rectum) is used with consistent, lateral strokes, which last 1 second in each direction [79]

iii-Delivery Position

While kneeling as opposed to sitting has no effect on rate of OASIS, a standing position (upright position without buttocks support: upright standing, squatting, kneeling) versus a sitting position (upright position however with assistance of the ischial

tuberosities, with or without sacral support) may expand the risk of OASIS, as demonstrated in a review examination of 814 women (650 standing, 264 sitting, any parity) in which women standing for their delivery had around 7-fold expansion in OASIS (2.5% versus 0.38%).[80].

A 2012 RCT looking at traditional methodology of delivery (no passive second stage, and active second stage in the dorsal lithotomy) versus "substitute" method for delivery (passive second stage lasting up to strong urge or 120 min, and active 2d stage in the lateral "Gasquet" position - with upper hip flexed, foot on stirrup higher than knee) affirmed no difference in rate of OASIS [81]

iv-Episiotomy

There is no question that confined utilization of episiotomy, of any sort, is ideal in young women having spontaneous vaginal delivery.[82].The consequences of a pilot RCT of routine versus restrictive mediolateral episiotomy in nulliparous women, undergoing instrumental delivery didn't achieve statistical significance because of a little example size.[83]Most examination recognize midline episiotomy as a risk factor,[84].Anyway some do not.[19].This would potentially be connected with poor coding in these studies that research the impact in view of data base information.[85].Notwithstanding, while the published rate of OASIS following mediolateral episiotomy changes between 0.5% to 7%, it can likewise achieve as high as 17% to 19% after a midline episiotomy.[86]

In those having an employable vaginal delivery, a review enormous Dutch data set find out about proposed that a mediolateral or sidelong episiotomy lead to less anal sphincter wounds than no episiotomy or a midline episiotomy. [29]. The effect of mediolateral episiotomy is genuinely disputable in instrumental deliveries in primiparous women. A few authors record an unprejudiced duplicated possibility of OASIS if a mediolateral episiotomy is completed for the length of instrumented birth (OR 4.04); [87].

In any case, once adapted to instrumental delivery, the kind of episiotomy presently not stayed a risk factor. Others record a decline rates of OASIS [88-90] what's more severe perineal injury (high vaginal sulcus and OASIS combined) [82]. with mediolateral episiotomy than with no episiotomy (OR 0.2 to 0.8). The equilibrium of the proof recommends that a mediolateral episiotomy no doubt does now not make greater the risk of OASIS at the time of instrumental delivery of a primiparous woman, and truth be told, may likewise limit the rate of OASIS interestingly, with no episiotomy. There is just one published RCT (published in 1980) looking at rates of OASIS between midline and mediolateral episiotomy in nulliparous. [91].

In that review, 12% of women who had a midline episiotomy supported an OASIS, versus 2% of these who had a mediolateral. This find out significant limitations including a number of protocol violations. For instance, assuming an obstetrical consideration provider was antagonistic to midline cuts, a mediolateral episiotomy was once proceeded as another option and the patient excluded from the analysis. In a prospective cohort study of 1302 women who delivered vaginally, and who all got an episiotomy, 426 gained midline and 876 mediolateral episiotomy, as indicated by the specialist's preferences. [92].

Profound perineal tears (which included yet were not generally bound to OASIS) had been existing in 14.8% of the individuals who had a midline episiotomy versus 7% of these who had a mediolateral episiotomy. The phrasing utilized in the writing is at times unclear between midline, mediolateral, and parallel episiotomies. A standardization has been proposed [93]. (A midline episiotomy need to show those beginning in the midline and going on at a 0° disposition from the vertical; a mediolateral episiotomies ought to portray these finished start in the midline yet at a point expanded than 0° from the upward line; while a horizontal episiotomy gets going the midline and is conveyed at a viewpoint more noteworthy than 0° from the vertical. Different entry points shown include: altered middle (rearranged "T" cut), "J"- formed episiotomy, and the only sometimes utilized radical horizontal (Schuchardt cut). [94].

a-Episiotomy sites

The viewpoint of the episiotomy impacts the commonness of OASIS. A more noteworthy acute (vertical) angel seems to enhance the risk of OASIS; in a RCT looking at mediolateral episiotomies made at 60° and 40° angles from the vertical, the risk of OASIS was 2.4% versus 5.5%, respectively (didn't accomplish measurable importance); nonetheless, 60° episiotomies conveyed more prominent short-term pain. [95].

This plausible shows how far away from the anal sphincter complex, the cut is. The effect of the beginning point of the episiotomy (mediolateral versus parallel) appears to be considerably less significant. In a large RCT, distributed exclusively in abstract in 2014, assessing mediolateral to lateral episiotomies. [96].

In this preliminary of 790 women, the frequency of OASIS didn't vary between a mediolateral (60° off the midline) and a parallel cut (1 to 2 cm along the side from the midline, angled toward the ischial tuberosity): 1.5% versus 1.3%, separately. There additionally seem to have no effect on postpartum pain or physically between mediolateral and lateral.[97]. Taking a gander at healed episiotomy scars, the risk of sustaining an OASIS is decreased when the tip of the episiotomy is what's more away from an vertical line attracted from the vagina to anus: OR 0.30 for each 5.5 mm increase somewhere far off between the midline vertical line and the tip of the episiotomy, a lateral incision is finished: OR 0.44 for each 4.5 mm distance increase off the midline for the incision start (for example less OASIS when the entry point began off the 6 o'clock area on the introitus, for example 4 o'clock), a more extended episiotomy was finished: OR 0.25 for each make greater of 5.5 mm in episiotomy length, or potentially the healed angle is somewhere in the range of 15° and 60°[58].

In another review, primigravidas who had mediolateral episiotomies and OASIS had, when inspected 3 months postpartum, a mean healed angle of 30°, conversely, with 38° in those without OASIS.[98]. In any case, it was demonstrated that there is a 20° difference between the incision angle of an episiotomy (regularly performed when the head is crowning) and the stitched angle when healed: though the incision angle was once 40° from midline, the angle estimated when healed and scarred was once 20°.[99]. At the end, to obtain a healed angle of 30°, one should incise at a 50° point.

v-Instrumental Delivery

On the off chance that instrumental instrument is indicated, vacuum extraction comprises of less risk to the anal sphincter than forceps. [100,101] Most data guide the utilization of mediolateral episiotomy to protect against OASIS in primiparous young women having instrumental delivery over no episiotomy [29]. At the point when a midline episiotomy is completed simultaneously with a usable vaginal delivery, it acts synergistically in developing OASIS [30].

It is feasible that early end of forceps (after delivery is guaranteed, yet before the biggest measurement of the head is expelled) could likewise additionally help with restricting OASIS in forceps and vacuum deliveries, when combined with various practices, for example, turning an occiput posterior to an occiput anterior position, settling on a vacuum as an option instead of a forceps, performing a mediolateral episiotomy as a substitute than a midline (provided that an episiotomy is considered necessary), and utilizing minimal maternal expulsive efforts at time of expulsion.[100].

Some have raised the place of informed consent at the time regarding instrumental delivery, contending that disclosure of the OASIS risk should be included, as well as the risks and advantages of any option, for example, Caesarean section. [101].

Obviously, performing a Caesarean would prevent OASIS, however performing it late in the process of labour can likewise not in any way safeguard the anal canal, as nerve injury can still occur. [102,103].

Other studies:

- a. Studies assessing antepartum perineal massage, [104].
 - b. pushing position kneeling versus sitting), [105].
 - c. open versus shut glottis pushing, [106].
 - d. Ritgen's manoeuvre, [107].
 - e. water birth, [108].
 - f. furthermore, deferred pushing (in women with epidural) [109].
- (neglected to show proof of a safeguarding sway on the anal canal).

VII. STANDARDS AND TYPES OF REPAIRS

Obstetric anal sphincter injuries should be repaired through as it should be prepared clinicians with such repairs. Repairs are typically completed in the delivery room or the operating room. The operating room bears the benefits of get admission to most helpful lighting, appropriate equipment, and aseptic conditions. Extra devices may moreover be expected for anal sphincter repairs which incorporates self-retaining retractors and Allis clamps. There have been no studies that have assessed anaesthetics utilized in the re-establish of obstetric anal sphincter wounds. Albeit in many cases repaired beneath nearby sedative, general or local sedation perhaps most prominent as they award both absence of pain and muscle relaxation. [3].

The EAS has inborn tone and when torn withdraws inside its capsular sheath. With muscle relaxation, the degree of the tear can be totally assessed and the sphincter ends can be recognized, got a handle on, and repaired by utilizing both the ends or the overlap procedure. Local anaesthesia may likewise be satisfactory when just the superficial fibres of the EAS are disrupted, [110]. Albeit other than beneficial absence of pain, it might moreover be hard to make a proper diagnosis. In the United Kingdom, experts recommend completing the re-establish under general or epidural anaesthesia. [111].

The SOGC Urogynaecology Committee doesn't detect this is essential all of the time, however extended as satisfactory absence of pain seems to be given, either the use of local infiltration or pudendal nerve block.

a. Suture Material

Albeit the sort of suture material utilized in the re-establish of obstetric anal sphincter tears could likewise be significant, there has been almost no query completed contrasting different suture types utilized for sphincter repairs. Both absorbable and delayed absorbable stitches are habitually utilized. Albeit a few colorectal specialists utilize non-absorbable sutures for secondary repairs of anal sphincters, there is issue that such sutures may result in abscesses or stitch closures may moreover reason discomfort requiring their removal. [111].

The suture ends must be cut brief and the knots covered by the overlying superficial perineal muscle tissues for request to limit any inconvenience from suture ends and knots. Monofilament stitches maybe truly accommodating as they are more averse to hold onto organisms and incline toward infection. [110]. A randomized preliminary through Williams et al. [112], (n=112), contrasted OASIS fixes and polyglactin (Vicryl) and polydioxanone (PDS). At about 6 wks., there was no sizable differentiation in suture related morbidity. There might be benefit to deferred absorbable stitch with perceive to longer time-frame deliberate outcomes anyway this has however to be assessed in clinical preliminaries. A considerable lot of the more as of late published studies have utilized deferred absorbable stitches however have now not been embraced to assess stitch material. Randomized preliminaries with longer term results comprehensive of anal incontinence are expected to assess stitch materials.

b. Repair of the Anal Mucosa

Following a fourth degree perineal tear, the anal mucosa can be approximated by various strategies. The mucosal repair can be completed with an interrupted on 3-0 Vicryl stitch with the knots tied in the anal lumen or external to the anal anal. On the other hand, the anal mucosa can be approximated with a 3-0 PDS stitch with a submucosal continuous suture. There are as of now studies that propose an advantage from any of these repair techniques for the anal mucosa concerning results including anovaginal and rectovaginal fistulas. Anyway figure-of-eight stitches ought not be utilized as they can cause ischemia and poor healing of the anorectal mucosa[110].

c. Separate Repair of the IAS

The studies with the strategies of repairing the anal sphincter following obstetric injury has essentially centred around the repair of the external anal sphincter. Nonetheless, the muscles associated with keeping up with anal continence incorporate the EAS as well as the internal anal sphincter. The internal anal is a 3 to 5 mm thick continuation of the rectal smooth muscle and is under autonomic nervous system control. The IAS is liable for keeping up with self-control at rest, by adding to 70% to 85% of the resting anal pressure, and, less significantly, of the anal pressure because of abrupt and steady rectal distension (40% and 65%, separately). [10].

Because of rectal distension by feces, fluids, or gases, the pressures in the IAS drop to permit "sampling" (by which the rectal substance fleetingly enters in contact with sensory nerve ending of the anal canal to decide the bowel content (fluid, gas, or solid) and permit handling and choice with regards to propriety of evacuation), related with a reflex recto-anal contractile reflex

assuming that time is inconvenient.[113]. Injury to the IAS muscle might prompt a poor seal and an impaired sampling reflex, prompting inactive incontinence[10].

Sultan and Thakar [78], depicted distinguishing and approximating the IAS with interrupted stitches notwithstanding the overlap repair of the EAS. It tends to be hard to distinguish the IAS which lies between the EAS and the anal mucosa. In contrast with the striated muscle of the EAS, the IAS is slight with a pale pink appearance in closeness to the anal mucosa. It might seem like a "fascial" layer. A little prospective study, with verifiable controls, published by Lindqvist and Jernetz in 2010,

Lindqvist [114], suggested that sorting out and separately stitching the IAS may moreover improve anal continence at 1 year. Both before mentioned studies approximated the IAS in an "end to end" pattern the utilization of delayed absorbable stitches. In a randomized preliminary of obstetric sphincter repairs, [115].

9 women had sphincter tears that included the IAS and had been freely approximated. In all 9 women the IAS was intact on follow-up endoanal ultrasound. Studies on checking out pragmatic impacts following OASIS repair record that more female with an IAS defect on endoanal ultrasound a 6 months postpartum have anal incontinence, and those with incontinence report worse degree of symptoms than those without IAS. [116]

d. EAS Repair Techniques

While repairing a torn anal sphincter following vaginal delivery the external anal sphincter can be approximated via 1 of two repair methods; end to end or overlap repair. The torn ends of the EAS, normally under tonic contraction, tend to retract inside their sheaths and can be found latero-posteriorly to the tear, consistently via palpation of a depression lower on the other hand than lateral. The muscle ends ought to be identified and grasped with Allis clips.

With an end-to-end repair, the EAS closures may also should be activated the use of Metzenbaum scissors for the dissection. The muscle closes are then approximated start to finish with two or 3 mattress stitches. In principle, mattress stitches can likewise rationale less tissue necrosis however there is no proof to help one method over the other. Stitches need to comprise of the fascial sheath. With an overlap repair, the torn EAS muscle closes routinely needs significantly more noteworthy dissection and mobilization. [3].

The dissection is done the use of the ischioanal fats laterally as a milestone. The full lengths of the torn lengths of the EAS (counting fascial sheath) are covered in a double breasted design. [111]. This sort of repair is exclusively conceivable with 3b or more prominent OASIS. [86].

Following the anal sphincter repair, which approximates the disturbed anal sphincter complex, the perineal body is remade by utilizing stitching the perineal muscles. This takes strain off and manages the support for the underlying muscle repair. The vaginal mucosa and perineal skin are repaired in the ordinary design. A rectovaginal examination toward the finish of the maintenance is done to avow the sufficiency of the maintenance.

Assuming that an obstetrical care provider is inadequately knowledgeable about the repair of third and fourth degree tear and an experienced obstetrical care provider is not helpful at the present time or locally, repair can be postponed for 8 to 12 hours with no effect on anal incontinence and pelvic floor symptoms. [118].

e. Examination of Repair Techniques

The most popular strategy for the primary repair of obstetrical anal sphincter wounds has been the end to end approximation of the anal external sphincter with interrupted or figure-of-eight stitches. Interestingly, the method ordinarily utilized by means of colorectal specialists to re-establish anal sphincter tears remote from delivery or irrelevant to delivery is the overlap strategy. The overlap strategy, depicted through Parks and McPartlin [119]. for the secondary repair of anal sphincters, was first assessed for the primary repair establish of obstetric anal sphincter tears by utilizing Sultan et al. in his 1999 fundamental study. [120].

Following this find out with regards to many randomized preliminaries have been presented looking at end-on end approximation and overlap repair of the EAS. A 2013 Cochrane outline interestingly, the adequacy of these two immediate primary repair strategies in decreasing resulting anal incontinence, perineal pain, dyspareunia and improving quality of life. [86].

The authors covered 6 preliminaries including 588 women.[121] Three preliminaries followed women for 12 months.[122] The main results showing a distinction was for waste earnestness and waste incontinence score, for the covering re-establish from one

preliminary with 52 ladies saw up at 12 months.[122].A cross-over re-establish came about in less with decay of incontinence from 6 weeks to a year after the fact (n=41).[122].Another preliminary affirmed that at three years, these distinctions were no longer present.[123].

In any case, the records are controlled given the heterogeneity in the outcome measures, time focuses, and announced outcomes. These explorations safeguarded primiparous and parous ladies and incomplete and entire third degree tears. Besides, their careful outing is not generally assessed in the covered examinations. Thus, the contemporary writing does now not guide suggesting one obstetric butt-centric sphincter fix approach over the other.

VIII. POSTOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT

i-Prophylactic Antibiotics

Just one randomized preliminary interestingly, the impact of a solitary IV portion of a 2d time cephalosporin (cefotetan or cefoxitin) on postpartum perineal injury issues (purulent release, or sore and breakdown of fix) 2 weeks following 1/3 and fourth degree tears.[80].Prophylactic anti-infection agents given at the hour of obstetrical anal sphincter re-establish diminishes maternal horribleness related to perineal injury inconveniences: 8.2% of women who got anti-microbials and 24.1% of women who got fake treatment experienced an injury confusion ($P<0.05$), with an overall rate of 0.34 (95% CI 0.12 to 0.96) [124,125]

This Cochrane outline articulated that the review was controlled through a high (27.2%) level of absence of follow-up. There are presently no investigations that have assessed the charge of additional portions of anti-toxins following re-establish of third and fourth confirmation perineal tears.

ii-Postoperative Bowel Regimen

Postoperative inside regimens following the fundamental re-establish of OASIS fluctuate. A few regimens comprise of purgatives and bulking agents to avoid constipation and any conceivable disturbance of the re-establish from the entry of extreme stool. Different regimens comprise of inside imprisonment procedures with the subject that intestinal movements in the on the spot postoperative length can likewise undermine the trustworthiness of the maintenance.

Mahony et al. [126]. played out a randomized preliminary to inspect a laxative regiment (lactulose) with a constipating regiment (codeine phosphate) in the 3 days following re-establish of major OASIS in 105 women. Laxative use was related with a fundamentally previously and less difficult first inside movement and an up to this point clinical establishment release postpartum. Problematic constipation used to be alluded to in 19% of female getting the obstructing routine contrasted with 5% getting the laxative routine. Two patients that got the constipation routine required centre confirmation for waste impaction. Generally speaking, there have been no colossal contrasts in moderation rankings or anal manometry and endoanal filter discoveries between the gatherings at 90 days' postpartum.

In 2007 Eogan et al. [127]. randomized (n=147) young women to obtain laxatives all alone (lactulose) or purgatives and a bulking agents (lactulose and ispaghula husk, Fybogel) for 10 days after the re-establish of OASIS. Incontinence in the quick postpartum time frame used to be extra pervasive in female taking the two arrangements than in these taking lactulose without help from anyone else (33% versus 18%). There had been no sizeable varieties between the associations with appreciate to time to first intestinal movement, length of hospital stay, or generally speaking joy connected with inside propensities, and no significant differentiation in utilitarian outcomes at 90 days.

iii-Postoperative Analgesia

While there are no information concerning the utilization of analgesics following repair of OASIS, a Cochrane evaluation distributed in 2003 [128]. found that rectal absence of pain, for example, diclofenac lessens perineal injury related pain sooner or later of the initial 24 hours following beginning and results in women the utilization of substantially less extra absence of pain all through the initial 48 hours. In view of the obstructing effect of narcotics, a NSAID related to acetaminophen is more than likely best as first-line organization of perineal pain. Albeit rectal organization of NSAID may also be better, it should be forestalled in examples of fourth degree tear, since it hypothetically could impair. [129]. Notwithstanding, narcotics should not be kept, but on the other hand utilized close by with a stool conditioner.

iv-Bladder Catheterization

Studies have demonstrated a connection between significant perineal injury and postpartum urinary retention.[130,131,133] Glavind and Bjork fundamentally checked out sphincter mishaps and found sphincter injury was found in 33% of female with post pregnancy urinary maintenance contrasted and 1% of the all-out people of women conceiving an offspring all through the review period.[132]. The pathophysiology of postpartum urinary maintenance connected with perineal harm is hazy anyway perhaps related to perineal uneasiness, urethral and perineal edema, and neurologic harm.

v- Management/Documentation

Operative delivery, while often showed, is a risk factor for sphincter tear, and obstetrical care providers need to think about on consideration examining the chance of operative delivery and any conceivable sequelae preceding labour. The decision for instrumental delivery need to think about the reasonable for anal sphincter injury. Likewise, broadened work could likewise be related with sphincter tears and experts could likewise consider talking about this with patients in conditions when work improvement is slow.

When confronted with an OASIS, the obstetrical care report (in a perfect world as a conventional operative note) the delivery course, comprehensive of sign for usable vaginal delivery, assent acquired, depiction of method, type and degree of perineal injury, repair procedure and stitch utilized, and antibiotics regulated. Moreover, the impacted individual be proficient of the damage maintained, and upon release a subsequent plane should be made.

vi-Results Following Repair

The outcomes following the main repair of obstetric anal sphincter mishaps are trying to lay out as there is standard size heterogeneity between studies. Concentrates on range discernibly with appreciate to re-establish methods, result measures, and follow-up stretches. An abridgement of impacts following most significant OASIS repairs presented in Table (6). [134-136].

The outcomes following OASIS repair appear to be related to the extent of the initial sphincter tear, with (3c) or fourth degree OASIS showing worse results than other types (see [Table 6](#)). (11,25,125)

Remote from delivery (middle subsequent 14 years), the degree of sphincter harm was viewed as free of the improvement of fecal incontinence. [137]. Following OASIS, the occurrence of anal incontinence could likewise stretch out with time: from 3-6 months to 3-8 years following delivery, the rate went from 31% to 54%. [138]. women's self-control over the long run could likewise be impacted via maturing, ensuing deliveries, and lifestyle factors.

Generally, the outcomes following the primary repair of OASIS are done empowering, with research detailing that numerous women go through from different levels of anal incontinence. Luckily, the management of anal incontinence, which incorporate that after repaired OASIS, can be effective with pelvic floor physiotherapy. [139,140].

Vii-Subsequent Pregnancy

Numerous factors may likewise be considered in directing women following an OASIS: the functional status (for example symptoms experienced rapidly and remotely from the delivery), the degree of remaining anatomical and physical defects as displayed on anal ultrasound or potentially anal manometry, and the patient's wishes.

A woman who had an OASIS after her first delivery has 3.8-to 5.9-fold expanded chances of a recurrent OASIS at her next delivery than a woman without prior OASIS (Table 7). [134,135,136,141,142,143,144,145]. (Table 7)

Albeit more prominent than in women without prior OASIS, the risk of having a repetitive OASIS is the same for a female with past OASIS as the baseline risk at first delivery; both around 5.3% in Ontario. [33] The larger part of women with a past OASIS will never again have a recurrent OASIS for the length of a subsequent vaginal delivery. Truth be told, 64% to 90% of all OASIS occurring at a 2d delivery are in women without a past OASIS. [112.113] In general, the rate of anal incontinence in female with OASIS and a subsequent vaginal delivery worsens in 19% to 56% of women, [145-149] particularly on the off chance that a woman had transient anal incontinence after the OASIS. [147].

On the preparation of these studies, the Royal College of Obstetrics and Gynaecologists is advocate that "All women who have supported an obstetric anal sphincter injury in a previous pregnancy and who are symptomatic or have abnormal endoanal

ultrasonography as well as manometry should have the choice of elective Caesarean birth. "A recent report the utilization of a decision analysis modelling investigated universal Caesarean section in continent female with past OASIS. [150].

In light of the literature, they utilized the accompanying assumptions: 5.1% risk of OASIS, anal incontinence rate of 44% after second OASIS. To prevent one case of anal incontinence (flatus, fluid, or stool) in women with earlier OASIS who were assumed continent [2,3] alternatively accessible Caesarean sections would be done, at the rate of broadened maternal risks, comprehensive of an increased morbidity rate from 4.2% after vaginal delivery to 11.3% after Caesarean section. Moreover, there would be one maternal death for 1880 occasions of anal incontinence turned away. The soundness of risks and advantages ought to be talked about while advising women on the course of future delivery after OASIS in a previous pregnancy.

Result of Subsequent Vaginal Delivery Depending on Symptoms Following OASIS at Index Delivery Just one distributed learn about surveyed anal symptoms in women with a subsequent delivering dependent absolutely upon their symptoms after the OASIS. [147]. Women who supported a fourth degree tear in a previous delivery related with transient anal incontinence had an expanded rate of developing subsequent anal incontinence after a resulting vaginal delivery (39%, 9/23; 4 of these women developed to be totally incontinent interestingly, with 7% [2/29] of asymptomatic women after their OASIS). In a new fundamental report, low baseline symptoms scores may anticipate good outcomes after a vaginal delivery in women with prior OASIS. [151].

Result of Subsequent Vaginal Delivery Depending on Finding on Endoanal Ultrasound Following OASIS at Index Delivery. The literature is compelled in reach and estimation of studies; however, the presence of a persistent deformity appears to enhance the risk of deteriorating symptoms. In women who had ultrasound confirmation of anal sphincter injury 3 months following a first vaginal delivery (any degree of tear), a subsequent vaginal delivery may likewise intensify the rate of abnormal anorectal symptoms (38%), contrasted with women who did not have another child (16%; as of not genuinely critical). Yet again women without ultrasound confirmation of OASIS 3 months postpartum had a rate of anal incontinence of 3% in the absence of subsequent pregnant versus 10% assuming they delivered (not measurably significant). [149]. In women who have a 2d vaginal delivery, the presence of anal injury on antenatal ultrasound between deliveries builds the rate of worsening anorectal manifestations: from 7% of women following a subsequent vaginal delivery without confirmation of constant deformity, to 37% on the off chance that ultrasound showed a pre-existing injury (no sizeable difference). [152]

Result of Subsequent Vaginal Delivery Depending on Combined Finding on Endoanal Ultrasound and Anal Manometry Following OASIS, Sultan reported his outcomes following antenatal guiding for the route of delivery in subsequent pregnancy for women with previous OASIS, [145]. with updated results presented in 2013. [153].

In his review, substantial anal compromised was once characterized by:

External anal sphincter ultrasound $>30^\circ$ and a maximum squeeze pressure increase of <20 mmHg on anal manometry;

OR imperfection $<30^\circ$ and a maximum squeeze pressure increase of <20 mmHg;

OR no deformity and a maximum squeeze pressure increase of <20 mmHg.

Women who had extensive anal compromise have been guided on having a Caesarean section. All others were guided on vaginal delivery. In these women who delivered as guided (75% of the study group), results on anal manometry did not apparently substitute and anorectal symptoms did not deteriorate following delivery. Comparable outcomes have been as abstracts. [154].

IX. CONCLUSION

In the past years, the motivation behind bringing down caesarean delivery rates has been sought after through governments, medical care associations, guidelines, hospitals, doctors, midwives and, patients, underneath the supposition that the extra "natural" labour and delivery are, the better the results will be. The increasing forceps rate in numerous locales has been one consequence of such pressures, even in spite of the fact that forceps is a major risk perspective for both OASI [11] and avulsion. [35]

Moreover, for the same reason, great efforts to advance VBAC or trial of labour after caesarean delivery (TOLAC) have been made over the most recent twenty years. Caesarean delivery is associated with well-known complications, but VBAC may likewise be a risk that should be disclosed to the patient while discussing VBAC or TOLAC. [36,37]

Surprising, one of the essential secret costs of vaginal delivery is pelvic floor injury looking like levator ani and anal sphincter tears. [26] While levator ani tears are the best-characterized etiologic component in prolapse of the bladder and uterus, OASI generally impacts in AI. Obstetrical-related AI effects on the quality of life of women, and it is a growing supply of obstetrical prosecution. Anal sphincter imaging furnishes us with the likelihood to review and improve both the diagnosis of OASI [9] and quality of primary OASI repair [32] Indeed, even the quality of episiotomy can be inspected now, which is critical, considering that extension into the external sphincter isn't exceptional after episiotomy. Legitimate training and ability of anal sphincter anatomy and appearance after delivery are important not only for the detection of major tears however also for the avoidance of over diagnosis. [9,38]

It is clear to the authors that a simple, cheap, non-invasive methodology of sphincter imaging would open up very substantial opportunities for practice improvement, both through better diagnosis as well as through improved OASI repair.

X. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. All women females have to be cautiously examined for perineal or vaginal tears; these with a tear that is extra than superficial in depth have a systematic rectal examination for obstetrical anal sphincter injury prior to repair.
 2. The World Health Organization classification be used to classify obstetrical anal sphincter injury. This distinguishes the degree of external sphincter tear (3a: $\leq 50\%$ or 3b: $\geq 50\%$) and the presence of internal sphincter defects (3c). An anal injury is distinct and be labelled one by one as such.
 3. In women having a spontaneous vaginal delivery, the rate of obstetrical anal sphincter injury is lowered when the obstetrical care provider slows the fetal head at crowning.
 4. Episiotomy: adapt the time of either a spontaneous vaginal or instrumental delivery, the obstetrical care provider must observe a coverage of "restricted" episiotomy (i.e. only if indicated), as an alternative than "liberal" use (i.e. routine), for the prevention of obstetrical anal sphincter injuries.
- Brief an episiotomy is deemed indicated, preference for a mediolateral over a midline need to be considered. The optimal cutting angle appears to be no much less than 45 degrees, ideally around 60 degrees.
5. Repair can be delayed for 8 to 12 hours with no detrimental effect. Delay may additionally be required so an extra experienced care provider is on hand for the repair.
 6. Prophylactic single dose intravenous antibiotics (2nd generation cephalosporin, e.g. cefotetan or cefoxitin) be administered for the prevention of perineal wound complications following the restore of obstetrical anal sphincter injury.
 7. Laxatives (e.g., lactulose) should be prescribed following the most important repair of obstetrical anal sphincter injury as they are associated with beforehand and less painful first bowel motions and before discharge from hospital. Constipating marketers and bulking sellers are not recommended.
 8. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatories and acetaminophen are the first-line analgesics. Opioids need to only be used with caution. Constipation ought to be avoided by using a laxative or stool softener.
 9. Following obstetrical anal sphincter injury, health providers disclose to female the degree of injury and organize follow-up. Detailed documentation of the injury and its repair is required.
 10. Women with anal incontinence following obstetrical anal sphincter injury be referred for pelvic floor physiotherapy.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Authors have equally participated and shared every item of the work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bo, Kari, et al. "An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for the conservative and nonpharmacological management of female pelvic floor dysfunction." *International urogynecology journal* 28.2 (2017): 191-213.
- [2] Sultan, Abdul H., and Christine Kettle. "Diagnosis of perineal trauma." *Perineal and anal sphincter trauma*. Springer, London, 2009. 13-19.
- [3] Sultan, Abdul H., and Raneer Thakar. "Third and fourth degree tears." *Perineal and anal sphincter trauma*. Springer, London, 2009. 33-51.
- [4] Sultan, Abdul H., and Raneer Thakar. "Third and fourth degree tears." *Perineal and anal sphincter trauma*. Springer, London, 2009. 33-51.
- [5] Andrews, Vasanth, et al. "Occult anal sphincter injuries—myth or reality?" *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics & gynaecology* 113.2 (2006): 195-200.
- [6] Cunningham, F. Gary, et al. *Williams obstetrics*, 24e. New York, NY, USA: Mcgraw-hill, 2014.
- [7] Fernando, Ruwan J., et al. "Management of obstetric anal sphincter injury: a systematic review & national practice survey." *BMC Health Services Research* 2.1 (2002): 1-10.
- [8] Sultan, Abdul H. "Obstetrical perineal injury and anal incontinence." *AVMA Medical & Legal Journal* 5.6 (1999): 193-196.
- [9] Thach, T. "Methods of repair for obstetric anal sphincter injury: RHL commentary." *Geneva (CH): World Health Organization, The WHO Reproductive Health Library* (2006).
- [10] Koelbl, H., et al. "Pathophysiology of urinary incontinence, faecal incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse." (2009): 257-330.
- [11] Roos, A-M., R. Thakar, and A. H. Sultan. "Outcome of primary repair of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS): does the grade of tear matter?" *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 36.3 (2010): 368-374.
- [12] World Health Organization. "International Classification of Diseases (ICD). Geneva (CG): WHO; 2015." (2015).
- [13] Baghestan, Elham, et al. "A validation of the diagnosis of obstetric sphincter tears in two Norwegian databases, the Medical Birth Registry and the Patient Administration System." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 86.2 (2007): 205-209.
- [14] Laine, Katariina, Mika Gissler, and Jouko Pirhonen. "Changing incidence of anal sphincter tears in four Nordic countries through the last decades." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 146.1 (2009): 71-75.
- [15] Sultan, Abdul H., et al. "Anal-sphincter disruption during vaginal delivery." *New England journal of medicine* 329.26 (1993): 1905-1911.
- [16] Ginath, Shimon, et al. "Obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIs) in Israel: a review of the incidence and risk factors." *Rambam Maimonides Medical Journal* 8.2 (2017).
- [17] Ekéus, Cecilia, Emma Nilsson, and Karin Gottvall. "Increasing incidence of anal sphincter tears among primiparas in Sweden: a population-based register study." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 87.5 (2008): 564-573.
- [18] Oberwalder, M., J. Connor, and S. D. Wexner. "Meta-analysis to determine the incidence of obstetric anal sphincter damage." *Journal of British Surgery* 90.11 (2003): 1333-1337.
- [19] Handa, Victoria L., Beate H. Danielsen, and William M. Gilbert. "Obstetric anal sphincter lacerations." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 98.2 (2001): 225-230.
- [20] Zetterström, Jan, et al. "Anal sphincter tears at vaginal delivery: risk factors and clinical outcome of primary repair." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 94.1 (1999): 21-28.
- [21] Corton, Marlene M., et al. "Endoanal ultrasound for detection of sphincter defects following childbirth." *International urogynecology journal* 24.4 (2013): 627-635.

- [22] Richter, Holly E., et al. "Endoanal ultrasound findings and fecal incontinence symptoms in women with and without recognized anal sphincter tears." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 108.6 (2006): 1394-1401.
- [23] Gerdin, Eva, et al. "The role of maternal age and episiotomy in the risk of anal sphincter tears during childbirth." *Australian and New Zealand journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 47.4 (2007): 286-290.
- [24] Baghestan, Elham, et al. "Trends in risk factors for obstetric anal sphincter injuries in Norway." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 116.1 (2010): 25-34.
- [25] Berggren, Vanja, et al. "Infibulated women have an increased risk of anal sphincter tears at delivery: a population-based Swedish register study of 250 000 births." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 92.1 (2013): 101-108.
- [26] Fenner, Dee E., et al. "Fecal and urinary incontinence after vaginal delivery with anal sphincter disruption in an obstetrics unit in the United States." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 189.6 (2003): 1543-1549.
- [27] McPherson, Karl C., et al. "Can the risk of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIs) be predicted using a risk-scoring system?." *BMC research notes* 7.1 (2014): 1-7.
- [28] Murphy, Deirdre J., et al. "A cohort study of maternal and neonatal morbidity in relation to use of sequential instruments at operative vaginal delivery." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 156.1 (2011): 41-45.
- [29] De Leeuw, J. W., et al. "Mediolateral episiotomy reduces the risk for anal sphincter injury during operative vaginal delivery." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 115.1 (2008): 104-108.
- [30] González, Diana Catalina Jaramillo, Mauricio Gómez Londoño, and Luis Guillermo Echavarría Restrepo. "Embarazo, trauma obstétrico y sus efectos sobre el piso pélvico. Revisión de la literatura." *Medicina UPB* 33.2 (2014): 129-137.
- [31] Hirsch, E., et al. "Severe perineal laceration during operative vaginal delivery: the impact of occiput posterior position." *Journal of Perinatology* 34.12 (2014): 898-900.
- [32] Räisänen, Sari, et al. "A prior cesarean section and incidence of obstetric anal sphincter injury." *International urogynecology journal* 24.8 (2013): 1331-1339.
- [33] Boggs, E. W., et al. "Mode of delivery following obstetric anal sphincter injury." *INTERNATIONAL UROGYNECOLOGY JOURNAL*. Vol. 24. 236 GRAYS INN RD, 6TH FLOOR, LONDON WC1X 8HL, ENGLAND: SPRINGER LONDON LTD, 2013.
- [34] Rognant, Sigolene, et al. "Obstetrical situations with a high risk of anal sphincter laceration in vacuum-assisted deliveries." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica scandinavica* 91.7 (2012): 862-868.
- [35] Wu, Jennifer M., et al. "Occiput posterior fetal head position increases the risk of anal sphincter injury in vacuum-assisted deliveries." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 193.2 (2005): 525-528.
- [36] Marschalek, Marie-Louise, et al. "Risk and protective factors for obstetric anal sphincter injuries: a retrospective nationwide study." *Birth* 45.4 (2018): 409-415.
- [37] Solans-Domènech, Maite, Emília Sánchez, and Montserrat Espuña-Pons. "Urinary and anal incontinence during pregnancy and postpartum: incidence, severity, and risk factors." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 115.3 (2010): 618-628.
- [38] Blomquist, Joan L., et al. "Association of delivery mode with pelvic floor disorders after childbirth." *Jama* 320.23 (2018): 2438-2447.
- [39] Faltin, Daniel L., et al. "Diagnosis of anal sphincter tears by postpartum endosonography to predict fecal incontinence." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 95.5 (2000): 643-647.
- [40] Menees, Stacy B., et al. "Prevalence of and factors associated with fecal incontinence: results from a population-based survey." *Gastroenterology* 154.6 (2018): 1672-1681.
- [41] Dietz, Hans P., Peter D. Wilson, and Ian Milsom. "Maternal birth trauma: why should it matter to urogynaecologists?." *Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 28.5 (2016): 441-448.

- [42] Guzmán Rojas, Rodrigo A., et al. "Anal sphincter trauma and anal incontinence in urogynecological patients." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 46.3 (2015): 363-366.
- [43] Guzmán Rojas, R. A., Kjell Å. Salvesen, and Ingrid Volløyhaug. "Anal sphincter defects and fecal incontinence 15–24 years after first delivery: a cross-sectional study." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 51.5 (2018): 677-683.
- [44] Desseauve, D., et al. "Evaluation of long-term pelvic floor symptoms after an obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASI) at least one year after delivery: a retrospective cohort study of 159 cases." *Gynécologie Obstétrique & Fertilité* 44.7-8 (2016): 385-390.
- [45] Faltin, Daniel L., et al. "Women's health 18 years after rupture of the anal sphincter during childbirth: I. Fecal incontinence." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 194.5 (2006): 1255-1259.
- [46] Gillor, Mosche, K. L. Shek, and Hans P. Dietz. "How comparable is clinical grading of obstetric anal sphincter injury with that determined by four-dimensional translabial ultrasound?." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 56.4 (2020): 618-623.
- [47] Andrews, Vasanth, et al. "Occult anal sphincter injuries—myth or reality?." *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics & gynaecology* 113.2 (2006): 195-200.
- [48] Sideris, Michail, et al. "Risk of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) and anal incontinence: a meta-analysis." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 252 (2020): 303-312.
- [49] Meriwether, Kate V., et al. "ANAL SPHINCTER ANATOMY PRE-PREGNANCY TO POST-DELIVERY AMONG THE SAME PRIMIPAROUS WOMEN ON DYNAMIC MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING." *Female pelvic medicine & reconstructive surgery* 25.1 (2019): 8.
- [50] Dudding, Thomas C., Carolynne J. Vaizey, and Michael A. Kamm. "Obstetric anal sphincter injury: incidence, risk factors, and management." *Annals of surgery* 247.2 (2008): 224-237.
- [51] Dietz, Hans Peter. "Ultrasound in the investigation of pelvic floor disorders." *Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 32.6 (2020): 431-440.
- [52] Peschers, Ursula M., et al. "Exoanal ultrasound of the anal sphincter: normal anatomy and sphincter defects." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 104.9 (1997): 999-1003.
- [53] Bellussi, Federica, et al. "Dynamic 2-dimensional transperineal ultrasound evaluation in labour room as a screening tool for anal sphincter injuries and anal incontinence in primiparous women." *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM* 1.3 (2019): 100037.
- [54] Haylen, Bernard T., et al. "An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction." *Neurourology and Urodynamics: Official Journal of the International Continence Society* 29.1 (2010): 4-20.
- [55] Sultan, Abdul H., et al. "Anal-sphincter disruption during vaginal delivery." *New England journal of medicine* 329.26 (1993): 1905-1911.
- [56] Starck, M., Måns Bohe, and Lil Valentin. "The extent of endosonographic anal sphincter defects after primary repair of obstetric sphincter tears increases over time and is related to anal incontinence." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology: The Official Journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 27.2 (2006): 188-197.
- [57] Norderval, S., et al. "Correlation between anal sphincter defects and anal incontinence following obstetric sphincter tears: assessment using scoring systems for sonographic classification of defects." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology: The Official Journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 31.1 (2008): 78-84.
- [58] Corton, Marlene M., et al. "Endoanal ultrasound for detection of sphincter defects following childbirth." *International urogynecology journal* 24.4 (2013): 627-635.
- [59] Faltin, Daniel Ladislav, et al. "Diagnosis of anal sphincter tears to prevent fecal incontinence: a randomized controlled trial." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 106.1 (2005): 6-13.

- [60] Dudding, Thomas C., Carolynne J. Vaizey, and Michael A. Kamm. "Obstetric anal sphincter injury: incidence, risk factors, and management." *Annals of surgery* 247.2 (2008): 224-237.
- [61] Valsky, Dan V., and Simcha Yagel. "Three-dimensional transperineal ultrasonography of the pelvic floor: improving visualization for new clinical applications and better functional assessment." *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine* 26.10 (2007): 1373-1387.
- [62] Dietz, Hans Peter. "Exoanal imaging of the anal sphincters." *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine* 37.1 (2018): 263-280.
- [63] Stuart, Andrea, Claes Ignell, and Ann-Kristin Örnö. "Comparison of transperineal and endoanal ultrasound in detecting residual obstetric anal sphincter injury." *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 98.12 (2019): 1624-1631.
- [64] Ros, C., et al. "Postpartum two-and three-dimensional ultrasound evaluation of anal sphincter complex in women with obstetric anal sphincter injury." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 49.4 (2017): 508-514.
- [65] Magpoc Mendoza, Julie, et al. "Normal Values of Anal Sphincter Biometry by 4-Dimensional Translabial Ultrasound: A Retrospective Study of Pregnant Women in Their Third Trimester." *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine* 38.10 (2019): 2733-2738.
- [66] Turel Fatakia, F., et al. "How repeatable is assessment of external anal sphincter trauma by exoanal 4D ultrasound?." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 53.6 (2019): 836-840.
- [67] Turel Fatakia, F., et al. "How repeatable is assessment of external anal sphincter trauma by exoanal 4D ultrasound?." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 53.6 (2019): 836-840.
- [68] Turel, Friyan D., et al. "Medium-to long-term follow-up of obstetric anal sphincter injury." *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum* 62.3 (2019): 348-356.
- [69] Hashimoto, Beverly, et al. "AIUM/IUGA practice parameter for the performance of Urogynecological ultrasound examinations Developed in collaboration with the ACR, the AUGS, the AUA, and the SRU." *INTERNATIONAL UROGYNECOLOGY JOURNAL* 30.9 (2019): 1389-1400.
- [70] Franco, Eva Martinez, et al. "Transperineal anal sphincter complex evaluation after obstetric anal sphincter injuries: With or without tomographic ultrasound imaging technique?." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 257 (2021): 70-75.
- [71] Belmonte-Montes, Carlos, et al. "Anal sphincter injury after vaginal delivery in primiparous females." *Diseases of the colon & rectum* 44.9 (2001): 1244-1248.
- [72] Rahmanou, Philip, et al. "The association between maternal age at first delivery and risk of obstetric trauma." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 215.4 (2016): 451-e1.
- [73] Roos, A-M., R. Thakar, and A. H. Sultan. "Outcome of primary repair of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS): does the grade of tear matter?." *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 36.3 (2010): 368-374.
- [74] Thakar, Rane, and A. Sultan. "Episiotomy and obstetric perineal trauma." *Best Practice in Labour and Delivery* (2016): 212.
- [75] Laine, Katariina, et al. "Decreasing the incidence of anal sphincter tears during delivery." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 111.5 (2008): 1053-1057.
- [76] Hals, Elisabeth, et al. "A multicenter interventional program to reduce the incidence of anal sphincter tears." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 116.4 (2010): 901-908.
- [77] Aasheim, Vigdis, et al. "Perineal techniques during the second stage of labour for reducing perineal trauma." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 6 (2017).
- [78] Stamp, Georgina, Gillian Kruzins, and Caroline Crowther. "Perineal massage in labour and prevention of perineal trauma: randomised controlled trial." *Bmj* 322.7297 (2001): 1277-1280.

- [79] Albers, Leah L., et al. "Midwifery care measures in the second stage of labour and reduction of genital tract trauma at birth: a randomized trial." *Journal of midwifery & women's health* 50.5 (2005): 365-372.
- [80] Gareberg, Britta, et al. "Birth in standing position: a high frequency of third degree tears." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 73.8 (1994): 630-633.
- [81] Walker, Carolina, et al. "Alternative model of birth to reduce the risk of assisted vaginal delivery and perineal trauma." *International Urogynecology Journal* 23.9 (2012): 1249-1256.
- [82] Carroli, Guillermo, and Jose Belizan. "Episiotomy for vaginal birth." *Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 3 (1999).
- [83] Murphy, D. J., et al. "A randomised controlled trial of routine versus restrictive use of episiotomy at operative vaginal delivery: a multicentre pilot study." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 115.13 (2008): 1695-1703.
- [84] Aytan, Hakan, et al. "Severe perineal lacerations in nulliparous women and episiotomy type." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 121.1 (2005): 46-50.
- [85] Kindberg, S. "Perineal lacerations after childbirth." *Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Aarhus, Denmark* (2008).
- [86] Fernando, Ruwan J., et al. "Methods of repair for obstetric anal sphincter injury." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 12 (2013).
- [87] Andrews, Vasanth, et al. "Risk factors for obstetric anal sphincter injury: a prospective study." *Birth* 33.2 (2006): 117-122.
- [88] de Leeuw, Jan Willem, et al. "Risk factors for third degree perineal ruptures during delivery." *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 108.4 (2001): 383-387.
- [89] Räisänen, Sari Helena, et al. "Lateral episiotomy protects primiparous but not multiparous women from obstetric anal sphincter rupture." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 88.12 (2009): 1365-1372.
- [90] Revicky, Vladimir, et al. "Could a mediolateral episiotomy prevent obstetric anal sphincter injury?." *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 150.2 (2010): 142-146.
- [91] Coats, P. M., et al. "A comparison between midline and mediolateral episiotomies." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 87.5 (1980): 408-412.
- [92] Fahmy, Mohamed M., et al. "Effect of different episiotomy techniques on perineal pain and sexual activity 3 months after delivery." *Menoufia Medical Journal* 31.4 (2018): 1253.
- [93] Kalis, V., et al. "Classification of episiotomy: towards a standardisation of terminology." *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics & gynaecology* 119.5 (2012): 522-526.
- [94] Stedenfeldt, Mona, et al. "Episiotomy characteristics and risks for obstetric anal sphincter injuries: a case-control study." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 119.6 (2012): 724-730.
- [95] El-Din, Adel SS, Magdy M. Kamal, and Malaka A. Amin. "Comparison between two incision angles of mediolateral episiotomy in primiparous women: a randomized controlled trial." *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research* 40.7 (2014): 1877-1882.
- [96] Naidu, Madhu, Abdul H. Sultan, and Raneer Thakar. "Reducing obstetric anal sphincter injuries using perineal support: our preliminary experience." *International urogynecology journal* 28.3 (2017): 381-389.
- [97] Fodstad, Kathrine, Anne Cathrine Staff, and Katariina Laine. "Effect of different episiotomy techniques on perineal pain and sexual activity 3 months after delivery." *International urogynecology journal* 25.12 (2014): 1629-1637.
- [98] Eogan, M., et al. "Does the angle of episiotomy affect the incidence of anal sphincter injury?." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 113.2 (2006): 190-194.
- [99] Kalis, Vladimir, et al. "The incision angle of mediolateral episiotomy before delivery and after repair." *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* 103.1 (2008): 5-8.

- [100] Hirsch, Emmet, et al. "Reducing high-order perineal laceration during operative vaginal delivery." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 198.6 (2008): 668-e1.
- [101] Farrell, Scott A. "Cesarean section versus forceps-assisted vaginal birth: It's time to include pelvic injury in the risk-benefit equation." *CMAJ* 166.3 (2002): 337-338.
- [102] Donnelly, Valerie, et al. "Obstetric events leading to anal sphincter damage." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 92.6 (1998): 955-961.
- [103] Fynes, M., et al. "Cesarean delivery and anal sphincter injury." *Female Pelvic Medicine & Reconstructive Surgery* 5.2 (1999): 127.
- [104] Beckmann, Michael M., and Andrea J. Garrett. "Antenatal perineal massage for reducing perineal trauma." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1 (2006).
- [105] Altman, Daniel, et al. "Anal sphincter lacerations and upright delivery postures—a risk analysis from a randomized controlled trial." *International Urogynecology Journal* 18.2 (2007): 141-146.
- [106] Yildirim, Gulay, and Nezihe Kizilkaya Beji. "Effects of pushing techniques in birth on mother and fetus: a randomized study." *Birth* 35.1 (2008): 25-30.
- [107] Jönsson, Eva Rubin, et al. "Modified Ritgen's maneuver for anal sphincter injury at delivery: a randomized controlled trial." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 112.2 (2008): 212-217.
- [108] Cluett, E. R., and EE BURNS. "Immersion in water in labour and birth.(Cochrane Review)." *The Cochrane Library* 1 (2009).
- [109] Fitzpatrick, Myra, et al. "A randomised clinical trial comparing the effects of delayed versus immediate pushing with epidural analgesia on mode of delivery and faecal continence." *BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 109.12 (2002): 1359-1365.
- [110] Sultan, Abdul H., and Raneer Thakar. "Lower genital tract and anal sphincter trauma." *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology* 16.1 (2002): 99-115.
- [111] Duggal, Neena, et al. "Antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of postpartum perineal wound complications: a randomized controlled trial." *Obstetrics & gynecology* 111.6 (2008): 1268-1273.
- [112] Jaiyesimi, Rotimi AK. "Pitfalls in the management of perineal trauma." *Clinical Risk* 13.3 (2007): 89-91.
- [113] Lunniss, Peter J., and S. Mark Scott. "Pathophysiology of anal incontinence." *Perineal and anal sphincter trauma*. Springer, London, 2009. 89-101.
- [114] Lindqvist, Pelle G., and Mats Jernetz. "A modified surgical approach to women with obstetric anal sphincter tears by separate suturing of external and internal anal sphincter. A modified approach to obstetric anal sphincter injury." *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 10.1 (2010): 1-6.
- [115] Fitzpatrick, Myra, et al. "A randomized clinical trial comparing primary overlap with approximation repair of third-degree obstetric tears." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 183.5 (2000): 1220-1224.
- [116] Nichols, Catherine M., et al. "Anal sphincter defects and bowel symptoms in women with and without recognized anal sphincter trauma." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 194.5 (2006): 1450-1454.
- [117] Nichols, Catherine M., Elizabeth H. Lamb, and Viswanathan Ramakrishnan. "Differences in outcomes after third-versus fourth-degree perineal laceration repair: a prospective study." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 193.2 (2005): 530-534.
- [118] Nordenstam, J., et al. "Immediate or delayed repair of obstetric anal sphincter tears—a randomised controlled trial." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 115.7 (2008): 857-865.
- [119] Parks, A. G., and J. F. McPartlin. "Late repair of injuries of the anal sphincter." (1971): 1187-1189.

- [120] Sultan, Abdul H., et al. "Primary repair of obstetric anal sphincter rupture using the overlap technique." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 106.4 (1999): 318-323.
- [121] Fernando, Ruwan J., et al. "Management of obstetric anal sphincter injury: a systematic review & national practice survey." *BMC Health Services Research* 2.1 (2002): 1-10.
- [122] Fernando, Ruwan J., et al. "Repair techniques for obstetric anal sphincter injuries: a randomized controlled trial." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 107.6 (2006): 1261-1268.
- [123] Fischer, John R. "What is new in obstetric anal sphincter injuries?: Best articles from the past year." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 122.1 (2013): 154-155.
- [124] Buppasiri, Pranom, et al. "Antibiotic prophylaxis for third-and fourth-degree perineal tear during vaginal birth." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 10 (2014).
- [125] Fernando, Ruwan J., et al. "Methods of repair for obstetric anal sphincter injury-Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews-ISBN: 1465-1858." (2014).
- [126] Mahony, Rhona, et al. "Randomized, clinical trial of bowel confinement vs. laxative use after primary repair of a third-degree obstetric anal sphincter tear." *Diseases of the colon & rectum* 47.1 (2004): 12-17.
- [127] Eogan, M., et al. "Randomised clinical trial of a laxative alone versus a laxative and a bulking agent after primary repair of obstetric anal sphincter injury." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 114.6 (2007): 736-740.
- [128] Hedayati, Hedyeh, Jacqueline Parsons, and Caroline A. Crowther. "Rectal analgesia for pain from perineal trauma following childbirth." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 3 (2003).
- [129] McCarthy, D. M. "Mechanisms of mucosal injury and healing: the role of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs." *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 30.sup208 (1995): 24-29.
- [130] Yip, Shing-Kai, et al. "Urinary retention in the post-partum period: the relationship between obstetric factors and the post-partum post-void residual bladder volume." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 76.7 (1997): 667-672.
- [131] Ching-Chung, Liang, et al. "Postpartum urinary retention: assessment of contributing factors and long-term clinical impact." *Australian and New Zealand journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 42.4 (2002): 367-370.
- [132] Glavind, Karin, and Jonna Bjørk. "Incidence and treatment of urinary retention postpartum." *International Urogynecology Journal* 14.2 (2003): 119-121.
- [133] Musselwhite, Kimberly L., et al. "Use of epidural anesthesia and the risk of acute postpartum urinary retention." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 196.5 (2007): 472-e1.
- [134] Payne, T. N., J. C. Carey, and W. F. Rayburn. "Prior third-or fourth-degree perineal tears and recurrence risks." *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* 64.1 (1999): 55-57.
- [135] Dandolu, Vani, et al. "Risk of recurrence of anal sphincter lacerations." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 105.4 (2005): 831-835.
- [136] Lowder, Jerry L., et al. "Risk factors for primary and subsequent anal sphincter lacerations: a comparison of cohorts by parity and prior mode of delivery." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 196.4 (2007): 344-e1.
- [137] Leeuw, Jan Willem De, et al. "Anal sphincter damage after vaginal delivery: functional outcome and risk factors for fecal incontinence." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 80.9 (2001): 830-834.
- [138] Wegnelius, Gisela, and Margareta Hammarström. "Complete rupture of anal sphincter in primiparas: long-term effects and subsequent delivery." *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica* 90.3 (2011): 258-263.
- [139] Ekmekci, Emre, et al. "The Management of Postpartum Anal Sphincter Injury." *International Journal of Midwifery Nursing* 1.1 (2018): 13-19.

- [140] Mahony, Rhona T., et al. "Randomized clinical trial of intra-anal electromyographic biofeedback physiotherapy with intra-anal electromyographic biofeedback augmented with electrical stimulation of the anal sphincter in the early treatment of postpartum fecal incontinence." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 191.3 (2004): 885-890.
- [141] Parmar, Sima, et al. "315: Recurrent anal sphincter injury: a population based study." *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 206.1 (2012): S150.
- [142] Jangö, H., et al. "Risk factors of recurrent anal sphincter ruptures: a population-based cohort study." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 119.13 (2012): 1640-1647.
- [143] Spydslaug, Anny, et al. "Recurrent risk of anal sphincter laceration among women with vaginal deliveries." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 105.2 (2005): 307-313.
- [144] Spydslaug, Anny, et al. "Recurrent risk of anal sphincter laceration among women with vaginal deliveries." *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 105.2 (2005): 307-313.
- [145] Scheer I.Thakar R.Sultan A.H.Mode of delivery after previous obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS)--a reappraisal?.*Int Urogynecol J.* 2009; 20: 10951101
- [146] Poen, A. C., et al. "Third-degree obstetric perineal tear: long-term clinical and functional results after primary repair." *Journal of British Surgery* 85.10 (1998): 1433-1438.
- [147] Bek, K. Møller, and S. Laurberg. "Risks of anal incontinence from subsequent vaginal delivery after a complete obstetric anal sphincter tear." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 99.9 (1992): 724-726.
- [148] Tetzschner, Tine, et al. "Anal and urinary incontinence in women with obstetric anal sphincter rupture." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 103.10 (1996): 1034-1040.
- [149] Faltin, Daniel L., et al. "Does a second delivery increase the risk of anal incontinence?." *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 108.7 (2001): 684-688.
- [150] McKenna, David S., John B. Ester, and John R. Fischer. "Elective cesarean delivery for women with a previous anal sphincter rupture." *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 189.5 (2003): 1251-1256.
- [151] Jangö, Hanna, et al. "Mode of delivery after obstetric anal sphincter injury and the risk of long-term anal incontinence." *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 214.6 (2016): 733-e1.
- [152] Mahony, Rhona, et al. "Effect of second vaginal delivery on anal function in patients at risk of occult anal sphincter injury after first forceps delivery." *Diseases of the colon & rectum* 51.9 (2008): 1361-1366.
- [153] Daly, J. O., et al. "Outcome of childbirth after previous obstetric anal sphincter injury." *INTERNATIONAL UROGYNECOLOGY JOURNAL*. Vol. 24. 236 GRAYS INN RD, 6TH FLOOR, LONDON WC1X 8HL, ENGLAND: SPRINGER LONDON LTD, 2013.
- [154] Daly, J. O., et al. "Outcome of childbirth after previous obstetric anal sphincter injury." *INTERNATIONAL UROGYNECOLOGY JOURNAL*. Vol. 24. 236 GRAYS INN RD, 6TH FLOOR, LONDON WC1X 8HL, ENGLAND: SPRINGER LONDON LTD, 2013.

Table 1 Classification of OASIS

First degree
Second degree
Third degree
3a
3b
3c
Fourth degree

Table 2 Risks factors for OASIS

Maternal risks factors	OR *
Primiparity (19-24)	3.5 to 9.8
Age (>35) (24)	1.1
Age (>27) (23)	1.9

(19,23)	1.4 to 2.5
Maternal diabetes (19,23)	1.2 to 1.4
Infibulation (25)	1.8 to 2.7
Delivery risks factors	OR
Operative vaginal delivery *	
Vacuum (19,24,26)	1.5 to 3.5
Forceps (19,21,24,26,27)	2.3 to 5.6
Vacuum+forceps (24,28)	8.1
Episiotomy	
Midline (26)	2.3 to 5.5

Mediolateral (26,29)	0.21
Mediolat episiotomy+instrumental (29)	
Vacuum	0.11
Forceps	0.08
Midline episiotomy+instrumental (nulliparous) (30)	
Vacuum	4.5
Forceps	8.6
Unspecified episiotomy+instrumental (31)	
Vacuum	2.9
Forceps	3.9
Epidural (23)	1.1 to 2.2
Second stage >1 h	1.5

*	
Shoulder dystocia	2.7 to 3.3
VBAC (21,23)	1.4 to 5.5
Water birth (27)	1.46
Oxytocin augmentation ** (33)	1.2
Infant risks factors	OR
Birth weight>4000 gm (20)	2.2 to 3.0
Malpresentation (23)	2.0
Postmaturity (20,24)	1.1 to 2.5
Fetal distress	1.3
OP	

(§)	
SVD (23)	2.0

VBAC: Vaginal birth after Caesarean

* Presence of episiotomy not dissociated from instrumental

** In primiparous

§ Occiput posterior

Table 3 Risk of OASIS after instrumental delivery, with or without episiotomy (90% midline)

Characteristic	Number with factor in sphincter tear group	Number with factor in vaginal control group	Estimated OR for factor being related to tear	95% lower confidence limit for OR	95% upper confidence limit for OR
No vacuum, forceps, episiotomy or OP (reference group)	91	235	1.0		
Forceps	122	25	13.6	7.9	23.2
Fetal position OP	52	21	7.0	3.8	12.6
Vacuum	101	38	6.3	4.0	10.1
Prolonged second stage	138	66	5.6	3.6	8.6
Episiotomy	220	103	5.3	3.8	7.6

Characteristic	Number with factor in sphincter tear group	Number with factor in vaginal control group	Estimated OR for factor being related to tear	95% lower confidence limit for OR	95% upper confidence limit for OR
Epidural	366	336	3.2	1.6	6.2
Forceps+episiotomy	63	6	25.3	10.2	62.6
Prolonged second stage+ forceps+episiotomy	32	3	24.4	6.9	86.5
Epidural+forceps+episiotomy	61	4	41.0	13.5	124.4
Prolonged second stage+epidural+forceps+episiotomy	32	2	40.6	8.6	191.8
OP+forceps	26	3	21.6	6.2	75.6
OP+vacuum	15	4	9.7	3.0	30.8
OP+episiotomy	33	5	15.9	5.8	43.2
OP+episiotomy+forceps	18	1	33.8	4.8	239.5
OP+episiotomy+epidural+forceps	17	0	—	—	—

OR: odds ratio; OP: occiput posterior

Table 4 Sonographic diagnosis of obstetrical anal sphincter injury. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.

Author, year	Country	Study design	Population	Technique	Timing	Prevalence (%)
Sultan et al, (55) 1993	United Kingdom	Prospective	155 women (multiparous and nulliparous)	EAUS	6–8 wk after vaginal delivery	35.0
Belmonte-Montes et al, (71) 2001	Mexico	Prospective	98 women (nulliparous)	EAUS	6 wk after vaginal delivery	29.0
Andrews et al, (47) 2006	United Kingdom	Prospective	254 women (nulliparous)	EAUS	Immediately after delivery	24.5
Corton et al, (58) 2013	Texas, United States	Prospective	107 women (nulliparous)	3D-EAUS	Within 72 h of delivery	12.0
Rahmanou et al, (72) 2016	Australia	Sub analysis of a multi-center RCT (EPI-NO)	361 women (nulliparous)	4D-TPUS	3–6 mo after delivery	24.4

Table 5 Diagnosis of residual defect after OASI according to sonographic technique

Bellussi. Sonographic diagnosis of obstetrical anal sphincter injury. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.

Technique	3D-EAUS	4D-TPUS
OASI	Defect of $\geq 30^\circ$ of the EAS in ≥ 2 of 3 slices(73)	Defect of $\geq 30^\circ$ of the EAS in ≥ 4 of 6 TUI slices (42,46)

3D, 3-dimensional; 4D-TPUS, 4-dimensional transperineal ultrasound; EAS, external anal sphincter; OASI, obstetrical anal sphincter injury.

Table 6 Summary of outcomes from primary OASIS repair

Reference	Repair technique/injury	Follow-up Interval(s)	Outcome measure(s)	Prevalence mean (range)
Sultan and Thakar 2009 (3) (35 studies)	End-to-end repair	1–30 months	Anal incontinence (flatal and/or fecal incontinence)	39% (15 to 61%) (35 studies)
			Liquid or solid fecal incontinence	14% (2 to 29%) (25 studies)
			Fecal urgency	6% to 28%
			Sonographic anal sphincter defects	34% to 91%
			Anal incontinence with coitus (flatal and/or fecal incontinence)	17%

Reference	Repair technique/injury	Follow-up Interval(s)	Outcome measure(s)	Prevalence mean (range)
Bagade and Mackenzie 2010	End-to-end or overlap,	6 months	Anal incontinence	11%
	n=79		Fecal incontinence	7.5%
Tjandra et al. 2008	End-to-end, n=114	18.8 months	Fecal incontinence (Wexner>1)	20.7%
Samarasekera et al. 2008	Unspecified, n=53	>10 years	Overall anal incontinence (Wexner>1)	53%
			Flatal incontinence	51%
			Incontinence to liquid	32%
			Incontinence to solid	26%

Reference	Degree of injury	Type of repair	Follow-up Interval(s)	Outcome measure(s)	Prevalence
Nichols et al. 2005	4th, n=17	unspecified	6 to 8 weeks	Anal incontinence and/or fecal urgency	3rd: 28%
	3rd, n=39				4th: 59%
Roos et al. 2010	3a or 3b:	End-to-end	8 to 12	Bothersome fecal	3c and 4th had

Reference	Degree of injury	Type of repair	Follow-up Interval(s)	Outcome measure(s)	Prevalence
(11)	n=439	or overlap	weeks	incontinence or fecal urgency	worse scores on symptoms questionnaires than 3a and 3b
	3b or 4: n=92				
				Any incontinence to liquid stool	
Fenner et al 2003 (26)	3rd and 4th,	unspecified	6 months	Worsening bowel control after pregnancy	4th: 30.8%
	n=165				3rd: 3.6%

Table (7) Risks of OASIS at next delivery, based on presence of OASIS at 1st delivery

	OASIS at 1st delivery	No OASIS at 1st delivery
OASIS at subsequent delivery	3.7% to 7.5% (136,141,142 , 143,144,145)	0.6% to 3.2% (135,136,143,144)